



China Harbour Engineering Company Limited

Contract No. HY/2010/02

**Hong Kong – Zhuhai – Macao Bridge
Hong Kong Boundary Crossing
Facilities –
Reclamation Works**

Monthly EM&A Report for July 2015

[08/2015]

	Name	Signature
Prepared & Checked:	Y T Tang	
Reviewed, Approved and Certified:	Echo Leong (ETL)	

Version:	Rev. 0	Date:	14 August 2015
----------	--------	-------	----------------

Disclaimer

This report is prepared for China Harbour Engineering Company Limited and is given for its sole benefit in relation to and pursuant to Contract No. HY/2010/02 Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities-Reclamation Works and may not be disclosed to, quoted to or relied upon by any person other than China Harbour Engineering Company Limited without our prior written consent. No person (other than China Harbour Engineering Company Limited) into whose possession a copy of this report comes may rely on this report without our express written consent and China Harbour Engineering Company Limited may not rely on it for any purpose other than as described above.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.
15/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 1, 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 3922 9000 Fax: (852) 2317 7609 www.aecom.com

14 August 2015

By Fax (3698 5999) and By Post

Ove Arup & Partners
Chief Resident Engineer's Office
5 Ying Hei Road, Tung Chung, Lantau
Hong Kong

Attention: Mr. Roger Marechal

Dear Sir,

**Re: Agreement No. CE 48/2011 (EP)
Environmental Project Office for the
HZMB Hong Kong Link Road, HZMB Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities,
and Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link – Investigation**

**Contract No. HY/2010/02 – HZMB HKBCF – Reclamation Works
Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for July 2015**

Reference is made to the Environmental Team's submission of Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report for July 2015 certified by the ET Leader (ET's ref.: "60249820/C/RMKY15081401" dated 14 August 2015) and provided to us via e-mail on 14 August 2015.

We are pleased to inform you that we have no adverse comment on the captioned report. We write to verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 5.4 of EP-353/2009/I and Condition 4.4 of EP-354/2009/D (for TM-CLKL Southern Landfall Reclamation only).

As per Condition 1.7 of EPs, please be reminded to keep in view on the site condition, in particular in the vicinity of Portion B with your on-going surveillance and monitoring.

Thank you very much for your attention and please feel free to contact the undersigned should you require further information.

Yours faithfully,
For and on behalf of
Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited



Raymond Dai
Independent Environmental Checker

c.c.	HyD	Mr. Matthew Fung	(By Fax: 3188 6614)
	HyD	Mr. Wai-Ping Lee	(By Fax: 3188 6614)
	AECOM	Ms. Echo Leong	(By Fax: 2317 7609)
	CHEC	Mr. Lim Kim Chuan	(By Fax: 2578 0413)

Internal: DY, YH, LP, CL, ENPO Site

Q:\Projects\HYDHZMBEEM00\02_Proj_Mgt\02_Corr\HYDHZMBEEM00_0_3286L.15.doc

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
1 INTRODUCTION	6
1.1 Background	6
1.2 Scope of Report	6
1.3 Project Organization	7
1.4 Summary of Construction Works	7
1.5 Summary of EM&A Programme Requirements	8
2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING	9
2.1 Monitoring Requirements	9
2.2 Monitoring Equipment	9
2.3 Monitoring Locations	9
2.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	11
2.5 Monitoring Methodology	11
2.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	13
2.7 Results and Observations	13
3 NOISE MONITORING	14
3.1 Monitoring Requirements	14
3.2 Monitoring Equipment	14
3.3 Monitoring Locations	14
3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	15
3.5 Monitoring Methodology	15
3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	15
3.7 Monitoring Results	16
4 WATER QUALITY MONITORING	17
4.1 Monitoring Requirements	17
4.2 Monitoring Equipment	17
4.3 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	17
4.4 Monitoring Locations	18
4.5 Monitoring Methodology	19
4.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	20
4.7 Results and Observations	20
5 DOLPHIN MONITORING	24
5.1 Monitoring Requirements	24
5.2 Monitoring Equipment	24
5.3 Monitoring Frequency and Conditions	24
5.4 Monitoring Methodology and Location	24
5.5 Monitoring Procedures	26
5.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month	26
5.7 Results and Observations	26
6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	31
6.1 Site Inspection	31
6.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status	33
6.3 Environmental Licenses and Permits	34
6.4 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures	34
6.5 Summary of Exceedances of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit	35
6.6 Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions	35
7 FUTURE KEY ISSUES	38
7.2 Construction Programme for the Coming Months	38
7.3 Key Issues for the Coming Month	39
7.4 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month	39

8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	40
8.2	Conclusions	40
8.3	Recommendations	41

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Contact Information of Key Personnel
Table 2.1	Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 2.2	Locations of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Stations
Table 2.3	Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 2.4	Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 2.5	Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 3.1	Noise Monitoring Equipment
Table 3.2	Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations
Table 3.3	Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration
Table 3.4	Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period
Table 4.1	Water Quality Monitoring Equipment
Table 4.2	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Frequency
Table 4.3	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Stations
Table 4.4	Laboratory Analysis for Suspended Solids
Table 4.5	Summary of Water Quality Exceedances
Table 5.1	Dolphin Monitoring Equipment
Table 5.2	Impact Dolphin Monitoring Line Transect Co-ordinates (Provided by AFCD)
Table 5.3	Impact Dolphin Monitoring Survey Effort Summary, Effort by Area and Beaufort Sea State
Table 5.4	Impact Dolphin Monitoring Survey Details July 2015
Table 5.5	The Encounter Rate of Number of Dolphin Sightings & Total Number of Dolphins per Area^
Table 6.1	Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Figures

Figure 1	General Project Layout Plan
Figure 2	Impact Air Quality and Noise Monitoring Stations and Wind Station
Figure 3	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Stations
Figure 4	Impact Dolphin Monitoring Line Transect Layout Map
Figure 5	Impact Dolphin Monitoring Survey Efforts and Sightings in July 2015
Figure 6	Environmental Complaint Handling Procedures

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Project Organization for Environmental Works
Appendix B	Three Month Rolling Construction Programmes
Appendix C	Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS)
Appendix D	Summary of Action and Limit Levels
Appendix E	Calibration Certificates of Monitoring Equipments
Appendix F	EM&A Monitoring Schedules
Appendix G	Impact Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation
Appendix H	Meteorological Data for Monitoring Periods on Monitoring Dates in July 2015
Appendix I	Impact Construction Noise Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation
Appendix J	Impact Water Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation
Appendix K	Impact Dolphin Monitoring Survey Sighting Summary
Appendix L	Event Action Plan
Appendix M	Monthly Summary of Waste Flow Table
Appendix N	Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Contract No. HY/2010/02 – Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities – Reclamation Work (here below, known as “the Project”) mainly comprises reclamation at the northeast of the Hong Kong International Airport of an area of about 130-hectare for the construction of an artificial island for the development of the Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities (HKBCF), and about 19-hectare for the southern landfall of the Tuen Mun - Chek Lap Kok Link (TMCLKL). It is a designated project and is governed by the current permits for the Project, i.e. the amended Environmental Permits (EPs) issued on 17 July 2015 (EP-353/2009/I) and 13 March 2015 (EP-354/2009/D) (for TMCLKL Southern Landfall Reclamation only).

Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited (Arup) was appointed by Highways Department (HyD) as the consultants for the design and construction assignment for the Project’s reclamation works (i.e. the Engineer for the Project).

China Harbour Engineering Company Limited (CHEC) was awarded by HyD as the Contractor to undertake the construction work of the Project.

Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited. was employed by HyD as the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) and Environmental Project Office (ENPO) for the Project.

AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. (AECOM) was appointed by CHEC to undertake the role of Environmental Team for the Project for carrying out the environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) works.

The construction phase of the Project under the EPs was commenced on 12 March 2012 and will be tentatively completed by early Year 2016. The EM&A programme, including air quality, noise, water quality and dolphin monitoring and environmental site inspections, was commenced on 12 March 2012.

This report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted in the period between 1 and 31 July 2015. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting period were:-

Marine-base

- Cellular structure – Connecting Arcs
- Cellular structure – Capping Beams
- Cellular structure – Backfill
- Conforming sloping seawalls – Geo-textile
- Maintenance of silt curtain & silt screen at sea water intake of HKIA

Land-base

- Earthwork fill
- Surcharge removal & laying
- Deep Cement Mixing
- Removal of Temporary Seawall
- Vertical Band Drains
- Installations of Precast Culverts except sloping outfalls
- Maintenance works of Site Office at Works Area WA2
- Maintenance works of Public Works Regional Laboratory at Works Area WA3
- Maintenance of Temporary Marine Access at Works Area WA2

A summary of monitoring and audit activities conducted in the reporting period is listed below:

24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring	5 sessions
1-hour TSP monitoring	5 sessions
Noise monitoring	4 sessions
Impact water quality monitoring	14 sessions
Impact dolphin monitoring	2 surveys
Joint Environmental site inspection	5 sessions

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

All 1-Hour TSP and 24-Hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Level in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Noise

For construction noise, no exceedance was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

Two (2) Action Level Exceedances of suspend solids were recorded at IS5 and IS(Mf)6 during flood tide, the exceedances were confirmed after checking against relevant control station(s) during flood tide i.e. CS6, CSA and CS(Mf)5 following the Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality. After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the recorded exceedances are related to this Contract.

Impact Dolphin Monitoring

A total of four sightings were made, two “on effort” and two “opportunistic”. Two sightings were recorded on 6 July 2015 and two on 28 July 2015. Sighting details are summarised and plotted in Appendix K and Figure 5c, respectively. The first group sighted on 6 July 2015 contained 3 individuals and the second group on that day contained 2 individuals. The first group sighted on 28 July 2015 contained 9 individuals and the second group 4 individuals.

Behaviour: On 6 July 2015, the first group sighted was engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. On 28 July 2015, the first group was also engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. No calves were sighted in July 2015. Locations of sighting with different behaviour are mapped in Figure 5d.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

As informed by the Contractor, 3 July 2015, an air quality complaint has been received on 11 June 2015 by HyD via complaint hotline 1823. The complainant complained that sand and dust pollution near Richland Garden, 138 Wu Chui Road, Tuen Mun, caused by sand delivery barges. After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the observed impact is related to this Contract.

As informed by ER of this Contract on 13 July 2015, EPD referred a noise related complaint to this Contract on 13 July 2015. The complainant complained noise came from BCF site near HK Skycity Marriott Hotel during nighttime period of the past 10 days which involves excavation with a grab dredger, transfer of excavated material using a derrick barge and a tug boat, and backfilling with a pelican barge. Based on EPD’s record, the above activities are covered by CNP no. GW-RS0503-15. After investigation, the construction activities carried out during restricted hour between 1- 13 July 2015 were considered complied with CNP conditions (no. GW-RS0503-15).

As informed by the Contractor on 30 July, Home Affairs Department referred a complaint to project team of this Contract on 29 July 2015. The complaint involved Mr. Chan and Mr. Tang, Resident Representatives of Tong Fuk Village who complained significant sand loss of Tong Fuk Beach, particularly after typhoon when the beach was hit by strong waves; this exposed the rocks at the beach. The complainant enquired whether the sand loss is related to sand extraction for construction of airport and reclamation works of HZMB artificial island. After investigation, the complaint is considered as non-project related.

No notification of summons or prosecution was received in the reporting period

Reporting Change

There was no reporting change required in the reporting period.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the coming month included:

- Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
- Minimize loss of sediment from filling works;
- Regular review and maintenance of silt curtain systems, drainage systems and desilting facilities;

- Exposed surfaces/soil stockpiles should be properly treated to avoid generation of silty surface run-off during rainstorm;
- Regular review and maintenance of wheel washing facilities provided at all site entrances/exits;
- Conduct regular inspection of various working machineries and vessels within works areas to avoid any dark smoke emission;
- Suppress dust generated from work processes with use of bagged cements, earth movements, excavation activities, exposed surfaces/soil stockpiles and haul road traffic;
- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used;
- Provision of proper and effective noise control measures for operating equipment and machinery on-site, such as erection of movable noise barriers or enclosure for noisy plants;
- Closely check and replace the sound insulation materials regularly;
- Better scheduling of construction works to minimize noise nuisance;
- Properly store and label oil drums and chemical containers placed on site;
- Proper chemicals, chemical wastes and wastes management;
- Maintenance works should be carried out within roofed, paved and confined areas;
- Collection and segregation of construction waste and general refuse on land and in the sea should be carried out properly and regularly; and
- Proper protection and regular inspection of existing trees, transplanted/retained trees.
- Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.
- Regular review and provide maintenance to dust control measures such as sprinkler system.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Contract No. HY/2010/02 – Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities – Reclamation Work (here below, known as “the Project”) mainly comprises reclamation at the northeast of the Hong Kong International Airport of an area of about 130-hectare for the construction of an artificial island for the development of the Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities (HKBCF), and about 19-hectare for the southern landfall of the Tuen Mun - Chek Lap Kok Link (TMCLKL).
- 1.1.2 The environmental impact assessment (EIA) reports (Hong Kong – Zhuhai – Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities – EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-145/2009) (HKBCFEIA) and Tuen Mun – Chek Lap Kok Link – EIA Report (Register No. AEIAR-146/2009) (TMCLKLEIA), and their environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) Manuals (original EM&A Manuals), for the Project were approved by Environmental Protection Department (EPD) in October 2009.
- 1.1.3 EPD subsequently issued the Environmental Permit (EP) for HKBCF in November 2009 (EP-353/2009) and the Variation of Environmental Permit (VEP) in June 2010 (EP-353/2009/A), November 2010 (EP-353/2009/B), November 2011 (EP-353/2009/C), March 2012 (EP-353/2009/D), October 2012 (EP-353/2009/E), April 2013 (EP-353/2009/F), August 2013 (EP-353/2009/G), January 2015 (EP-353/2009/H) and July 2015 (EP-353/2009/I). Similarly, EPD issued the Environmental Permit (EP) for TMCLKL in November 2009 (EP-354/2009) and the Variation of Environmental Permit (VEP) in December 2010 (EP-354/2009/A), January 2014 (EP-354/2009/B), December 2014 (EP-354/2009/C) and March 2015 (EP-354/2009/D).
- 1.1.4 The Project is a designated project and is governed by the current permits for the Project, i.e. the amended EPs issued on 17 July 2015 (EP-353/2009/I) and 13 March 2015 (EP-354/2009/D) (for TMCLKL Southern Landfall Reclamation only).
- 1.1.5 A Project Specific EM&A Manual, which included all project-relation contents from the original EM&A Manuals for the Project, was issued in May 2012.
- 1.1.6 Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited (Arup) was appointed by Highways Department (HyD) as the consultants for the design and construction assignment for the Project’s reclamation works (i.e. the Engineer for the Project).
- 1.1.7 China Harbour Engineering Company Limited (CHEC) was awarded by HyD as the Contractor to undertake the construction work of the Project.
- 1.1.8 Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited. was employed by HyD as the Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) and Environmental Project Office (ENPO) for the Project.
- 1.1.9 AECOM Asia Co. Ltd. (AECOM) was appointed by CHEC to undertake the role of Environmental Team for the Project for carrying out the EM&A works.
- 1.1.10 The construction phase of the Project under the EPs was commenced on 12 March 2012 and will be tentatively completed by early Year 2016.
- 1.1.11 According to the Project Specific EM&A Manual, there is a need of an EM&A programme including air quality, noise, water quality and dolphin monitoring and environmental site inspections. The EM&A programme of the Project commenced on 12 March 2012.

1.2 Scope of Report

- 1.2.1 This is the forty-first monthly EM&A Report under the Contract No.HY/2010/02 Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities – Reclamation Works. This report presents a summary of the environmental monitoring and audit works, list of activities and mitigation measures proposed by the ET for the Project in July 2015.

1.3 Project Organization

1.3.1 The project organization structure is shown in Appendix A. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel

Party	Position	Name	Telephone	Fax
Engineer's Representative (ER) (Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited)	Chief Resident Engineer	Roger Marechal	3698 5700	2698 5999
	IEC / ENPO (Ramboll Environ Hong Kong Limited)	Independent Environmental Checker	Raymond Dai	3465 2888
Contractor (China Harbour Engineering Company Limited)	Environmental Project Office Leader	Y. H. Hui	3547 2133	3465 2899
	Environmental Officer	Louie Chan	36932254	2578 0413
ET (AECOM Asia Company Limited)	24-hour Hotline	Alan C.C. Yeung	9448 0325	--
	ET Leader	Echo Leong	3922 9280	2317 7609

1.4 Summary of Construction Works

1.4.1 The construction phase of the Project under the EP commenced on 12 March 2012.

1.4.2 As informed by the Contractor, details of the major works carried out in this reporting period are listed below:-

Marine-base

- Cellular structure – Connecting Arcs
- Cellular structure – Capping Beams
- Cellular structure – Backfill
- Conforming sloping seawalls – Geo-textile
- Maintenance of silt curtain & silt screen at sea water intake of HKIA

Land-base

- Earthwork fill
- Surcharge removal & laying
- Deep Cement Mixing
- Removal of Temporary Seawall
- Vertical Band Drains
- Installations of Precast Culverts except sloping outfalls
- Maintenance works of Site Office at Works Area WA2
- Maintenance works of Public Works Regional Laboratory at Works Area WA3
- Maintenance of Temporary Marine Access at Works Area WA2

1.4.3 The 3-month rolling construction programme of the Project is shown in Appendix B.

1.4.4 The general layout plan of the Project site showing the detailed works areas is shown in Figure 1.

1.4.5 The environmental mitigation measures implementation schedule are presented in Appendix C.

1.5 Summary of EM&A Programme Requirements

1.5.1 The EM&A programme required environmental monitoring for air quality, noise, water quality, marine ecology and environmental site inspections for air quality, noise, water quality, waste management, marine ecology, and landscape and visual impact. The EM&A requirements for each parameter described in the following sections include:-

- All monitoring parameters;
- Monitoring schedules for the reporting month and forthcoming month;
- Action and Limit levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event / Action Plan;
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the Project EIA reports; and
- Environmental requirement in contract documents.

2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

2.1 Monitoring Requirements

2.1.1 In accordance with the Project Specific EM&A Manual, baseline 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels at 4 air quality monitoring stations were established. Impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted for at least three times every 6 days, while impact 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out for at least once every 6 days. The Action and Limit level of the air quality monitoring is provided in Appendix D.

2.2 Monitoring Equipment

2.2.1 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) located at each designated monitoring station. The HVS meets all the requirements of the Project Specific EM&A Manual. Portable direct reading dust meters were used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring. Brand and model of the equipment is given in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP)	Sibata Digital Dust Monitor (Model No. LD-3 and LD-3B)
High Volume Sampler (24-hour TSP)	Tisch Environmental Mass Flow Controlled Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) High Volume Air Sampler (Model No. TE-5170)

2.3 Monitoring Locations

2.3.1 Monitoring locations AMS2 and AMS7 were set up at the proposed locations in accordance with Project Specific EM&A Manual. For AMS6 (Dragonair/CNAC (Group) Building), permission on setting up and carrying out impact monitoring works was sought, however, access to the premise has not been granted yet on this report issuing date. For monitoring location AMS3 (Ho Yu College), as proposed in the Project Specific EM&A Manual, approval for carrying out impact monitoring could not be obtained from the principal of the school. Permission on setting up and carrying out impact monitoring works at nearby sensitive receivers, like Caribbean Coast and Coastal Skyline, was also sought. However, approvals for carrying out impact monitoring works within their premises were not obtained. Impact air quality monitoring was conducted at site boundary of the site office area in Works Area WA2 (AMS3B) respectively. Same baseline and Action Level for air quality, as derived from the baseline monitoring data recorded at Ho Yu College, was adopted for this alternative air quality location.

2.3.2 It was observed that a tree near AMS3B may affect the wind flow around the HVS located at AMS3B. With no further comment received from IEC, the HVS at AMS3B has been relocated on 8 September 2014 to slightly more than 2 meters separation from it, measured horizontally. Same baseline and Action Level for air quality, as derived from the baseline monitoring data recorded at Ho Yu College, was adopted for this alternative air quality location.

2.3.3 Reference is made to ET’s proposal of the omission of air monitoring station (AMS 6) dated on 1 November 2012 and EPD’s letter dated on 19 November 2012 regarding the conditional approval of the proposed omission of air monitoring station (AMS 6) for Contract No. HY/2010/02. The aforesaid omission of Monitoring Station AMS6 is effective since 19 November 2012.

2.3.4 Reference is made to ET’s proposal of relocation of air quality monitoring station (AMS7) dated on 2 February 2015, with no further comment received from IEC on 2 February 2015 and no objection received from EPD on 5 February 2015, the impact air quality monitoring station AMS7 (Hong Kong SkyCity Marriott Hotel) has been relocated to AMS7A (Chu Kong Air-Sea Union Transportation Company Limited) on 3 February 2015. Action Level for air quality, as derived from the baseline monitoring data recorded at Hong Kong SkyCity Marriott Hotel, was adopted for this alternative air quality location.

2.3.5 Figure 2 shows the locations of monitoring stations. Table 2.2 describes the details of the monitoring stations.

Table 2.2 Locations of Impact Air Quality Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Description
AMS2	Tung Chung Development Pier	Rooftop of the premise
AMS3B	Site Boundary of Site Office Area at Works Area WA2	On ground at the area boundary
AMS6*	Dragonair/CNAC (Group) Building	On ground at boundary of the premise
AMS7A	Chu Kong Air-Sea Union Transportation Company Limited	On ground at boundary of the premise

*Remarks: Reference is made to EPD conditional approval of the omission of air monitoring station (AMS 6) for the project. The omission will be effective on 19 November 2012.

2.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

2.4.1 Table 2.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact TSP monitoring.

Table 2.3 Air Quality Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency and Duration
1-hour TSP	Three times every 6 days while the highest dust impact was expected
24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days

2.5 Monitoring Methodology

2.5.1 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- (a) The HVS was installed in the vicinity of the air sensitive receivers. The following criteria were considered in the installation of the HVS.
 - (i) A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the sampler against gusty wind was provided.
 - (ii) No two samplers should be placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - (iii) The distance between the HVS and any obstacles, such as buildings, was at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the HVS.
 - (iv) A minimum of 2 meters separation from walls, parapets and penthouse for rooftop sampler.
 - (v) A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
 - (vi) No furnace or incinerator flues nearby.
 - (vii) Airflow around the sampler was unrestricted.
 - (viii) Permission was obtained to set up the samplers and access to the monitoring stations.
 - (ix) A secured supply of electricity was obtained to operate the samplers.
 - (x) The sampler was located more than 20 meters from any dripline.
 - (xi) Any wire fence and gate, required to protect the sampler, did not obstruct the monitoring process.
 - (xii) Flow control accuracy was kept within $\pm 2.5\%$ deviation over 24-hour sampling period.
- (b) Preparation of Filter Papers
 - (i) Glass fibre filters, G810 were labelled and sufficient filters that were clean and without pinholes were selected.
 - (ii) All filters were equilibrated in the conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighing. The conditioning environment temperature was around 25 °C and not variable by more than ± 3 °C; the relative humidity (RH) was < 50% and not variable by more than $\pm 5\%$. A convenient working RH was 40%.

- (iii) All filter papers were prepared and analysed by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd., which is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.
- (c) Field Monitoring
- (i) The power supply was checked to ensure the HVS works properly.
 - (ii) The filter holder and the area surrounding the filter were cleaned.
 - (iii) The filter holder was removed by loosening the four bolts and a new filter, with stamped number upward, on a supporting screen was aligned carefully.
 - (iv) The filter was properly aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter.
 - (v) The swing bolts were fastened to hold the filter holder down to the frame. The pressure applied was sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
 - (vi) Then the shelter lid was closed and was secured with the aluminum strip.
 - (vii) The HVS was warmed-up for about 5 minutes to establish run-temperature conditions.
 - (viii) A new flow rate record sheet was set into the flow recorder.
 - (ix) On site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the flow rate of the HVS was checked and adjusted at around 1.1 m³/min, and complied with the range specified in the updated EM&A Manual (i.e. 0.6-1.7 m³/min).
 - (x) The programmable digital timer was set for a sampling period of 24 hrs, and the starting time, weather condition and the filter number were recorded.
 - (xi) The initial elapsed time was recorded.
 - (xii) At the end of sampling, on site temperature and atmospheric pressure readings were taken and the final flow rate of the HVS was checked and recorded.
 - (xiii) The final elapsed time was recorded.
 - (xiv) The sampled filter was removed carefully and folded in half length so that only surfaces with collected particulate matter were in contact.
 - (xv) It was then placed in a clean plastic envelope and sealed.
 - (xvi) All monitoring information was recorded on a standard data sheet.
 - (xvii) Filters were then sent to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for analysis.
- (d) Maintenance and Calibration
- (i) The HVS and its accessories were maintained in good working condition, such as replacing motor brushes routinely and checking electrical wiring to ensure a continuous power supply.
 - (ii) 5-point calibration of the HVS was conducted using TE-5025A Calibration Kit prior to the commencement of baseline monitoring. Bi-monthly 5-point calibration of the HVS will be carried out during impact monitoring.
 - (iii) Calibration certificate of the HVSs are provided in Appendix E.

2.5.2 1-hour TSP Monitoring

(a) Measuring Procedures

The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter were in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:-

- (i) Turn the power on.
- (ii) Close the air collecting opening cover.
- (iii) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch to [BG].
- (iv) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform background measurement for 6 seconds.
- (v) Turn the knob at SENSI ADJ position to insert the light scattering plate.
- (vi) Leave the equipment for 1 minute upon "SPAN CHECK" is indicated in the display.
- (vii) Push "START/STOP" switch to perform automatic sensitivity adjustment. This measurement takes 1 minute.
- (viii) Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
- (ix) Push the "TIME SETTING" switch the time set in the display to 3 hours.
- (x) Lower down the air collection opening cover.
- (xi) Push "START/STOP" switch to start measurement.

(b) Maintenance and Calibration

- (i) The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated at 1-year intervals against a continuous particulate TEOM Monitor, Series 1400ab. Calibration certificates of the Laser Dust Monitors are provided in Appendix E.
- (ii) 1-hour validation checking of the TSP meter against HVS is carried out on half-year basis at the air quality monitoring locations.

2.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

2.6.1 The schedule for air quality monitoring in July 2015 is provided in Appendix F.

2.7 Results and Observations

2.7.1 The monitoring results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Table 2.4 and 2.5 respectively. Detailed impact air quality monitoring results are presented in Appendix G.

Table 2.4 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
AMS2	76	67-88	374	500
AMS3B	76	68-88	368	500
AMS7A	76	69-88	370	500

Table 2.5 Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
AMS2	39	18-64	176	260
AMS3B	36	21-55	167	260
AMS7A	41	29-58	183	260

2.7.2 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix L.

2.7.3 Meteorological information collected from the wind station during the monitoring periods on the monitoring dates, as shown in Figure 2, including wind speed and wind direction, is annexed in Appendix H.

3 NOISE MONITORING

3.1 Monitoring Requirements

3.1.1 In accordance with the Project Specific EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring was conducted for at least once per week during the construction phase of the Project. The Action and Limit level of the noise monitoring is provided in Appendix D.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

3.2.1 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at each designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications (IEC) 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level. Brand and model of the equipment is given in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Noise Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Integrated Sound Level Meter	Rion NL-31 & B&K2238
Acoustic Calibrator	Rion NC-73 & B&K 4231

3.3 Monitoring Locations

3.3.1 Monitoring locations NMS2 was set up at the proposed locations in accordance with Project Specific EM&A Manual. However, for monitoring location NMS3 (Ho Yu College), as proposed in the Project Specific EM&A Manual, approval for carrying out impact monitoring could not be obtained from the principal of the school. Permission on setting up and carrying out impact monitoring works at nearby sensitive receivers, like Caribbean Coast and Coastal Skyline, was also sought. However, approvals for carrying out impact monitoring works within their premises were not obtained. Impact noise monitoring was conducted at site boundary of the site office area in Works Area WA2 (NMS3B) respectively. Same baseline noise level (as derived from the baseline monitoring data recorded at Ho Yu College) and Limit Level were adopted for this alternative noise monitoring location.

3.3.2 Figure 2 shows the locations of the monitoring stations. Table 3.2 describes the details of the monitoring stations.

Table 3.2 Locations of Impact Noise Monitoring Stations

Monitoring Station	Location	Description
NMS2	Seaview Crescent Tower 1	Free-field on the rooftop of the premise
NMS3B	Site Boundary of Site Office Area at Works Area WA2	Free-field on ground at the area boundary.

3.4 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

3.4.1 Table 3.3 summarizes the monitoring parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring.

Table 3.3 Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

Parameter	Frequency and Duration
30-mins measurement at each monitoring station between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays (Monday to Saturday). L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} would be recorded.	At least once per week

3.5 Monitoring Methodology

3.5.1 Monitoring Procedure

- (a) The sound level meter was set on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m above the ground for free-field measurements at NMS2. A correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.
- (b) All measurement at NMS3B were free field measurements in the reporting month at NMS3B. A correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.
- (c) The battery condition was checked to ensure the correct functioning of the meter.
- (d) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time were set as follows:-
 - (i) frequency weighting: A
 - (ii) time weighting: Fast
 - (iii) time measurement: $L_{eq(30-minutes)}$ during non-restricted hours i.e. 07:00 – 1900 on normal weekdays.
- (e) Prior to and after each noise measurement, the meter was calibrated using the acoustic calibrator for 94dB(A) at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement was more than 1 dB(A), the measurement would be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement would be required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- (f) During the monitoring period, the L_{eq} , L_{10} and L_{90} were recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources were recorded on a standard record sheet.
- (g) Noise measurement was paused during periods of high intrusive noise (e.g. dog barking, helicopter noise) if possible. Observations were recorded when intrusive noise was unavoidable.
- (h) Noise monitoring was cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

3.5.2 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) The microphone head of the sound level meter was cleaned with soft cloth at regular intervals.
- (b) The meter and calibrator were sent to the supplier or HOKLAS laboratory to check and calibrate at yearly intervals.
- (c) Calibration certificates of the sound level meters and acoustic calibrators are provided in Appendix E.

3.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

3.6.1 The schedule for construction noise monitoring in July 2015 is provided in Appendix F.

3.7 Monitoring Results

3.7.1 The monitoring results for construction noise are summarized in Table 3.4 and the monitoring data is provided in Appendix I.

Table 3.4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

	Average, dB(A), L_{eq} (30 mins)	Range, dB(A), L_{eq} (30 mins)	Limit Level, dB(A), L_{eq} (30 mins)
NMS2	67	65 - 69*	75
NMS3B	66	65 – 67*	70/65^

*+3dB(A) Façade correction included

^ Daytime noise Limit Level of 70 dB(A) applies to education institutions, while 65dB(A) applies during school examination period.

3.7.2 No Action or Limit Level Exceedance of construction noise was recorded in the reporting month.

3.7.3 Major noise sources during the noise monitoring included construction activities of the Project, construction activities by other contracts and nearby traffic noise.

3.7.4 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix L.

4 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

4.1 Monitoring Requirements

4.1.1 Impact water quality monitoring was carried out to ensure that any deterioration of water quality was detected, and that timely action was taken to rectify the situation. For impact water quality monitoring, measurements were taken in accordance with the Project Specific EM&A Manual. Appendix D shows the established Action/Limit Levels for the environmental monitoring works.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

4.2.1 Table 4.1 summarises the equipment used in the impact water quality monitoring programme.

Table 4.1 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Brand and Model
Dissolved Oxygen (DO) and Temperature Meter, Salinity Meter and Turbidimeter	YSI Model 6820
pH Meter	YSI Model 6820 or Thermo Orion 230A+
Positioning Equipment	JRC DGPS 224 Model JLR-4341 with J-NAV 500 Model NWZ4551
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Cuda-168 and Lowrance x-4
Water Sampler	Kahlsio Water Sampler (Vertical) 2.2 L with messenger

4.3 Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration

4.3.1 Table 4.2 summarises the monitoring parameters, frequency and monitoring depths of impact water quality monitoring as required in the Project Specific EM&A Manual.

Table 4.2 Impact Water Quality Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Monitoring Stations	Parameter, unit	Frequency	No. of depth
<p><i>Impact Stations:</i> IS5, IS(Mf)6, IS7, IS8, IS(Mf)9, IS10, IS(Mf)11, IS(Mf)16, IS17</p> <p><i>Control/Far Field Stations:</i> CS(Mf)3, CS(Mf)5, CS4, CS6, CSA</p> <p><i>Sensitive Receiver Stations:</i> SR3-SR7, SR10A&SR10B</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Depth, m • Temperature, °C • Salinity, ppt • Dissolved Oxygen (DO), mg/L • DO Saturation, % • Turbidity, NTU • pH • Suspended Solids (SS), mg/L 	<p>Three times per week during mid-ebb and mid-flood tides (within ± 1.75 hour of the predicted time)</p>	<p>3 (1 m below water surface, mid-depth and 1 m above sea bed, except where the water depth is less than 6 m, in which case the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3 m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored).</p>

4.4 Monitoring Locations

- 4.4.1 In accordance with the Project Specific EM&A Manual, twenty-one stations (9 Impact Stations, 7 Sensitive Receiver Stations and 5 Control/Far Field Stations) were designated for impact water quality monitoring. The nine Impact Stations (IS) were chosen on the basis of their proximity to the reclamation and thus the greatest potential for water quality impacts, the seven Sensitive Receiver Stations (SR) were chosen as they are close to the key sensitive receives and the five Control/ Far Field Stations (CS) were chosen to facilitate comparison of the water quality of the IS stations with less influence by the Project/ ambient water quality conditions.
- 4.4.2 Due to safety concern and topographical condition of the original locations of SR4 and SR10B, alternative impact water quality monitoring stations, naming as SR4 (N) and SR10B (N), were adopted, which are situated in vicinity of the original impact water quality monitoring stations (SR4 and SR10B) and could be reachable.
- 4.4.3 Same baseline and Action Level for water quality, as derived from the baseline monitoring data recorded, were adopted for these alternative impact water quality monitoring stations.
- 4.4.4 The locations of these monitoring stations are summarized in Table 4.3 and depicted in Figure 3.

Table 4.3 Impact Water Quality Monitoring Stations

Station	Description	East	North
IS5	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	811579	817106
IS(Mf)6	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	812101	817873
IS7	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	812244	818777
IS8	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	814251	818412
IS(Mf)9	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	813273	818850
IS10	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	812577	820670
IS(Mf)11	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	813562	820716
IS(Mf)16	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	814328	819497
IS17	Impact Station (Close to HKBCF construction site)	814539	820391
SR3	Sensitive receivers (San Tau SSSI)	810525	816456
SR4(N)	Sensitive receivers (Tai Ho)	814705	817859
SR5	Sensitive receivers (Artificial Reef in NE Airport)	811489	820455
SR6	Sensitive receivers (Sha Chau and Lung Kwu Chau Marine Park)	805837	821818
SR7	Sensitive receivers (Tai Mo Do)	814293	821431
SR10A	Sensitive receivers (Ma Wan FCZ)1	823741	823495
SR10B(N)	Sensitive receivers (Ma Wan FCZ)2	823683	823187
CS(Mf)3	Control Station	809989	821117
CS(Mf)5	Control Station	817990	821129
CS4	Control Station	810025	824004
CS6	Control Station	817028	823992
CSA	Control Station	818103	823064

4.5 Monitoring Methodology

4.5.1 Instrumentation

- (a) The in-situ water quality parameters, viz. dissolved oxygen, temperature, salinity, turbidity and pH, were measured by multi-parameter meters (i.e. Model YSI 6820 CE-C-M-Y) and pH meter (i.e. Thermo Orion 230A+) respectively.

4.5.2 Operating/Analytical Procedures

- (a) Digital Differential Global Positioning Systems (DGPS) were used to ensure that the correct location was selected prior to sample collection.
- (b) Portable, battery-operated echo sounders were used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station.
- (c) All in-situ measurements were taken at 3 water depths, 1 m below water surface, mid-depth and 1 m above sea bed, except where the water depth was less than 6 m, in which case the mid-depth station was omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3 m, only the mid-depth station was monitored.
- (d) At each measurement/sampling depth, two consecutive in-situ monitoring (DO concentration and saturation, temperature, turbidity, pH, salinity) and water sample for SS. The probes were retrieved out of the water after the first measurement and then re-deployed for the second measurement. Where the difference in the value between the first and second readings of DO or turbidity parameters was more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading was discarded and further readings were taken.
- (e) Duplicate samples from each independent sampling event were collected for SS measurement. Water samples were collected using the water samplers and the samples were stored in high-density polythene bottles. Water samples collected were well-mixed in the water sampler prior to pre-rinsing and transferring to sample bottles. Sample bottles were pre-rinsed with the same water samples. The sample bottles were then be packed in cool-boxes (cooled at 4°C without being frozen), and delivered to ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. for the analysis of suspended solids concentrations. The laboratory determination work would be started within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. is a HOKLAS accredited laboratory and has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes. For QA/QC procedures, one duplicate samples of every batch of 20 samples was analyzed.
- (f) The analysis method and reporting and detection limit for SS is shown in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4 Laboratory Analysis for Suspended Solids

Parameters	Instrumentation	Analytical Method	Reporting Limit	Detection Limit
Suspended Solid (SS)	Weighting	APHA 2540-D	0.5mg/L	0.5mg/L

- (g) Other relevant data were recorded, including monitoring location / position, time, water depth, tidal stages, weather conditions and any special phenomena or work underway at the construction site in the field log sheet for information.

4.5.3 Maintenance and Calibration

- (a) All in situ monitoring instruments would be calibrated and calibrated by ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. before use and at 3-monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring programme. Calibration details are provided in Appendix E.
- (b) The dissolved oxygen probe of YSI 6820 was calibrated by wet bulb method. Before the calibration routine, the sensor for dissolved oxygen was thermally equilibrated in water-saturated air. Calibration cup is served as a calibration chamber and it was loosened from airtight condition before it is used for the calibration. Calibration at ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. was carried out once every three months in a water sample with a known concentration of dissolved oxygen. The sensor was immersed in the water and after thermal equilibration, the known mg/L value was keyed in and the calibration was carried out automatically.
- (c) The turbidity probe of YSI 6820 is calibrated two times a month. A zero check in distilled water was performed with the turbidity probe of YSI 6820 once per monitoring day. The probe will be calibrated with a solution of known NTU at ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd. once every three months.

4.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

- 4.6.1 The schedule for impact water quality monitoring in July 2015 is provided in Appendix F.
- 4.6.2 The scheduled water quality monitoring at mid ebb on 10 July 2015 was cancelled due to Tropical Cyclone Warning Signal no. 3 or above was hoisted 3 hours before the commencement of scheduled water quality monitoring.

4.7 Results and Observations

- 4.7.1 Impact water quality monitoring results and graphical presentations are provided in Appendix J.


Table 4.5 Summary of Water Quality Exceedances

Station	Exceedance Level	DO (S&M)		DO (Bottom)		Turbidity		SS		Total	
		Ebb	Flood	Ebb	Flood	Ebb	Flood	Ebb	Flood	Ebb	Flood
IS5	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS(Mf)6	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS7	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS8	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS(Mf)9	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS10	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS(Mf)11	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS(Mf)16	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IS17	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR3	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR4(N)	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR5	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR6	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR7	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR10A	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR10B (N)	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	
	Limit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Note: S: Surface; and
M: Mid-depth.

4.7.2 Two (2) Action Level Exceedances of suspended solids were recorded at IS5 and IS(Mf)6 during flood tide, the exceedances were confirmed after checking against relevant control station(s) during flood tide i.e. CS6, CSA and CS(Mf)5 following the Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality.

4.7.2.1 Below layout map shows no marine work was conducted at south and southwestern part of the HKBCF Reclamation Works during flood tide on 17 July 2015:



- 4.7.2.2 Exceedances recorded at IS5 and IS(Mf)6 during flood tide are unlikely due to marine based construction activities of the Project because:
- 4.7.2.3 Attached layout map shows no marine work was conducted at south and southwestern part of the HKBCF Reclamation Works during flood tide on 17 July 2015, therefore it is unlikely that the SS exceedances recorded at IS5 and IS(Mf)6 during flood tide are caused by HKBCF Reclamation Works.
- 4.7.2.4 Monitoring stations IS7 and IS(Mf)9 are located relatively closer to HKBCF Reclamation Works than monitoring station IS(Mf)6 and IS5. However, all suspended solid results recorded at IS7 and IS(Mf)9 were lower than the action and limit level, as such, the action level exceedances of SS recorded at IS(Mf)6 and IS5 are unlikely attributed to HKBCF Reclamation Works.
- 4.7.2.5 In addition, turbidity level recorded at IS5, IS(Mf)6, IS7 and IS(Mf)9 were below the action and limit level. This indicates the turbidity level at area near IS5 and IS(Mf)6 was not adversely affected.
- 4.7.2.6 With reference to the silt curtain checking record of 17 July 2015, defects such as disconnection of the silt curtain was not observed at south and southwestern part of the perimeter silt curtain which are close to the IS5 and IS(Mf)6.
- 4.7.2.7 The exceedances are likely due to local effects in the vicinity of IS5 and IS(Mf)6.
- 4.7.2.8 After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the recorded exceedances are related to this Contract.
- 4.7.2.9 Action taken under the action plan
1. Not applicable as SS was not measured in situ;
 2. After considering the above mentioned investigation results, it appears that it was unlikely that the SS exceedances were attributed to active construction activities of this Contract;
 3. IEC, contractor and ER were informed via email;
 4. Monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods were checked;
 5. Since it is considered that the SS exceedance is unlikely to be project related, as such, actions 5-7 under the EAP are not considered applicable.

4.7.2.10 Nevertheless, the Contractor was reminded to ensure provision of ongoing maintenance to the silt curtains and to carry out maintenance work once defects were found.

4.7.2.11 Maintenance work of the silt curtain was carried out by the Contractor on a daily basis except Sunday and public holiday.

4.7.3 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix L.

5 DOLPHIN MONITORING

5.1 Monitoring Requirements

- 5.1.1 Vessel based surveys for the Chinese White Dolphin (CWD), *Sousa chinensis*, are to be conducted by a dedicated team comprising a qualified marine mammal ecologist and experienced marine mammal observers (MMOs). The purpose of the surveys are to evaluate the impact of the HKCBF reclamation and, if deemed detrimental, to take appropriate action as per the EM&A manual.
- 5.1.2 This 'Impact Monitoring' follows several months of 'Baseline Monitoring' so similar survey methodologies have been adopted to facilitate comparisons between datasets. Further, the data collected are compatible with, and are available for, incorporation into the data set managed by the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department (AFCD) as part of Hong Kong's long term Marine Mammal Monitoring Programme.

5.2 Monitoring Equipment

Table 5.1 summarises the equipment used for the impact dolphin monitoring.

Table 5.1 Dolphin Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
Commercially licensed motor vessel	15m in length with a 4.5m viewing platform
Global Positioning System (GPS) x2	Integrated into T7000 Garmin GPS Map 76C
Computers (T7000 Tablet, Intel Atom)	Windows 7/MSO 13 Logger
Camera	Nikon D7100 300m 2.8D fixed focus Nikon D90 80-400mm zoom lens
Laser Rangefinder	Range Finder Bushnell 1000m
Marine Binocular x3	Nexus 7 x 50 marine binocular with compass and reticules Fujinon 7 x 50 marine binocular with compass and reticules

5.3 Monitoring Frequency and Conditions

- 5.3.1 Dolphin monitoring is conducted twice per month in each survey area.
- 5.3.2 Dolphin monitoring is conducted only when visibility is good (e.g., over 1km) and the sea condition is at a Beaufort Sea State of 4 or better.
- 5.3.3 When thunder storm, black rain or typhoon warnings are in force, all survey effort is stopped.

5.4 Monitoring Methodology and Location

- 5.4.1 The impact dolphin monitoring is vessel-based and combines line-transect and photo-ID methodology. The survey follows pre-set and fixed transect lines in the two areas defined by AFCD as:
- 5.4.2 Northeast Lantau survey area; and
- 5.4.3 Northwest Lantau survey area.
- 5.4.4 The co-ordinates for the transect lines and layout map have been provided by AFCD and are shown in Table 5.2 and Figure 4.

Table 5.2 Impact Dolphin Monitoring Line Transect Co-ordinates (Provided by AFCD)

ID	HK Grid System		Long Lat in WGS84	
	X	Y	Long	Lat
1	804671	814577	113.870308	22.269741
1	804671	831404	113.869975	22.421696
2	805475	815457	113.878087	22.277704
2	805477	826654	113.877896	22.378814
3	806464	819435	113.887615	22.313643
3	806464	822911	113.887550	22.345030
4	807518	819771	113.897833	22.316697
4	807518	829230	113.897663	22.402113
5	808504	820220	113.907397	22.320761
5	808504	828602	113.907252	22.396462
6	809490	820466	113.916965	22.323003
6	809490	825352	113.916884	22.367128
7	810499	820690	113.926752	22.325043
7	810499	824613	113.926688	22.360464
8	811508	820847	113.936539	22.326475
8	811508	824254	113.936486	22.357241
9	812516	820892	113.946329	22.326894
9	812516	824254	113.946279	22.357255
10*	813525	820827	113.956112	22.326321
10*	813525	824657	113.956066	22.360908
11	814556	818449	113.966160	22.304858
11	814556	820992	113.966125	22.327820
12	815542	818807	113.975726	22.308109
12	815542	824882	113.975647	22.362962
13	816506	819480	113.985072	22.314192
13	816506	824859	113.985005	22.362771
14	817537	820220	113.995070	22.320883
14	817537	824613	113.995018	22.360556
15	818568	820735	114.005071	22.325550
15	818568	824433	114.005030	22.358947
16	819532	821420	114.014420	22.331747
16	819532	824209	114.014390	22.356933
17	820451	822125	114.023333	22.338117
17	820451	823671	114.023317	22.352084
18	821504	822371	114.033556	22.340353
18	821504	823761	114.033544	22.352903
19	822513	823268	114.043340	22.348458
19	822513	824321	114.043331	22.357971
20	823477	823402	114.052695	22.349680
20	823477	824613	114.052686	22.360610
21	805476	827081	113.877878	22.382668
21	805476	830562	113.877811	22.414103
22	806464	824033	113.887520	22.355164
22	806464	829598	113.887416	22.405423
23	814559	821739	113.966142	22.334574
23	814559	824768	113.966101	22.361920

*Remark: Due to the presence of deployed silt curtain systems at the site boundaries of the Project, some of the transect lines shown in Figure 5 could not be fully surveyed during the regular survey. Transect 10 is reduced from 6.4km to approximately 3.6km in length due to the HKBCF construction site. Therefore the total transect length for both NEL and NWL combined is reduced to approximately 111km.

5.5 Monitoring Procedures

- 5.5.1 The study area incorporates 23 transects which are to be surveyed twice per month. Each survey day lasts approximately 9 hours.
- 5.5.2 The survey vessel departs from Tung Chung Development Pier, Tsing Yi Public Pier or the nearest safe and convenient pier.
- 5.5.3 When the vessel reaches the start of a transect line, “on effort” survey begins. Areas between transect lines and traveling to and from the study area are defined as “off effort”.
- 5.5.4 The transect line is surveyed at a speed of 6-8 knots (11-14 km/hr). For the sake of safety, the speed was sometimes a bit slower to avoid collision with other vessels. During some periods, tide and current flow in the survey areas exceeds 7 knots which can affect survey speed. There are a minimum of four marine mammal observers (MMOs) present on each survey, rotating through four positions, observers (2), data recorder (1) and ‘rest’ (1). Rotations occur every 30 minutes or at the end of dolphin encounters. The data recorder records effort, weather and sightings data directly onto the programme Logger and is not part of the observer team. The observers search with naked eye and binoculars between 90° and 270° abeam (bow being 0°).
- 5.5.5 When a group of dolphins is sighted, position, bearing and distance data are recorded immediately onto the computer and, after a short observation, an estimate made of group size. These parameters are linked to the time-GPS-ships data which are automatically stored in the programme Logger throughout the survey period. In this manner, information on heading, position, speed, weather, effort and sightings are stored in a format suitable for use with DISTANCE software for subsequent line transect analyses.
- 5.5.6 Once the vessel leaves the transect line, it is deemed to be “off effort”. The dolphins are approached with the purpose of taking high resolution pictures for proper photo-identification of individual CWD. Attempts to photograph all dolphins in the group are made. Both the left and right hand sides of the dorsal fin area of each dolphin in the group are photographed, if possible. On finishing photographing, the vessel will return to the transect line at the point of departure and “on effort” survey is resumed.
- 5.5.7 Sightings which are made while on the transect line are referred to as “on effort sightings”, while not on the actual transect line are referred to as an “opportunistic sightings” (e.g. another group of dolphins is sighted while travelling back to the transect line). Only “on effort sightings” can be used in analyses which require effort or rate quantification, e.g., encounter rate per 100km searched. This is also how “on effort sightings” are treated in the baseline report. “Opportunistic sightings” provide additional information on individual habitat use and population distribution and they are noted accordingly.
- 5.5.8 As time and GPS data are automatically logged throughout the survey and are linked to sightings data input, start and end times of encounters and deviation from the transect lines are recorded and can be subsequently reviewed.

5.6 Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Month

- 5.6.1 The schedule for dolphin monitoring in July 2015 is provided in Appendix F.
- 5.6.2 Due to forecast of poor weather condition, dolphin monitoring originally scheduled for 21 and 22 July 2015 has been rescheduled to 28 and 29 July 2015.
- 5.6.3 Two surveys covering both study areas were completed.

5.7 Results and Observations

- 5.7.1 Dolphin surveys were conducted on 6, 7, 28 and 29 July 2015. A total of 219.3km of transect line was conducted, all of which was conducted during Beaufort Sea State 3 or better (favourable water conditions). Please note that that some lines were shortened due to works and/or shipping traffic.

The effort summary and sightings data are shown in Tables 5.3 and 5.4, respectively. The survey efforts conducted in July 2015 are plotted in Figure 5a-b. For Table 5.3, only on-effort information is included. Transects conducted in all Beaufort Sea State are included. Compared to previous monthly reports, the whole number Beaufort Sea State scale is used so as to ease comparison with other dolphin monitoring reports.

Table 5.3 Impact Dolphin Monitoring Survey Effort Summary, Effort by Area and Beaufort Sea State

Survey	Date	Area	Beaufort	Effort (km)	Total Distance Travelled (km)
1	06 Jul 2015	NWL	1	4.6	63.2
	06 Jul 2015	NWL	2	46.7	
	06 Jul 2015	NWL	3	11.9	
	07 Jul 2015	NWL	1	0.3	46.6
	07 Jul 2015	NWL	2	5.8	
	07 Jul 2015	NWL	3	4	
	07 Jul 2015	NEL	1	30.1	
	07 Jul 2015	NEL	2	6.4	
2	28 Jul 2015	NWL	1	29	62.6
	28 Jul 2015	NWL	2	19.4	
	28 Jul 2015	NWL	3	14.2	
	29 Jul 2015	NWL	2	7.2	46.9
	29 Jul 2015	NWL	3	3.4	
	29 Jul 2015	NEL	1	15	
	29 Jul 2015	NEL	2	21.3	
TOTAL in JULY 2015					219.3

*Remark: Surveys conduct under Beaufort Sea State 3 or below are considered as under favourable condition.

Table 5.4 Impact Dolphin Monitoring Survey Details July 2015

Date	Location	No. Sightings "on effort"	No. Sightings "opportunistic"
06 Jul 2015	NW L	1	1*
	NEL	0	0
07 Jul 2015	NW L	0	0
	NEL	0	0
28 Jul 2015	NW L	1	1*
	NEL	0	0
29 Jul 2015	NW L	0	0
	NEL	0	0
TOTAL in JULY 2015		2	2

* Location indicates which area was being surveyed when the sighting was made. The area noted does not necessarily indicate where the dolphins were when the sighting was made.

Table 5.5 The Encounter Rate of Number of Dolphin Sightings & Total Number of Dolphins per Area^

Encounter Rate of Number of Dolphin Sightings (STG)*						
Date	NEL Track (km)	NWL Track (km)	NEL Sightings	NWL Sightings	NEL Encounter Rate	NWL Encounter Rate
6 & 7 July 2015	36.5	73.3	0	1	0	1.4
28 & 29 July 2015	36.3	73.2	0	1	0	1.4
Encounter Rate of Total Number of Dolphins (ANI)**						
Date	NEL Track (km)	NWL Track (km)	NEL Dolphins	NWL Dolphins	NEL Encounter Rate	NWL Encounter Rate
6 & 7 July 2015	36.5	73.3	0	2	0	2.7
28 & 29 July 2015	36.3	73.2	0	4	0	5.5

* Encounter Rate of Number of Dolphin Sightings (STG) presents encounter rates in terms of groups per 100km.

** Encounter Rate of Total Number of Dolphins (ANI) presents encounter rates in terms of individuals per 100km. And the encounter rate is not corrected for individuals, calculation may represent double counting.

^The table is made only for reference to the quarterly STG & ANI, which were adopted for the Event & Action Plan.

5.7.2 A total of four sightings were made, two “on effort” and two “opportunistic”. Two sightings were recorded on 6 July 2015 and two on 28 July 2015. Sighting details are summarised and plotted in Appendix K and Figure 5c, respectively. The first group sighted on 6 July 2015 contained 3 individuals and the second group on that day contained 2 individuals. The first group sighted on 28 July 2015 contained 9 individuals and the second group 4 individuals.

5.7.3 Behaviour: On 6 July 2015, the first group sighted was engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. On 28 July 2015, the first group was also engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. No calves were sighted in July 2015. Locations of sighting with different behaviour are mapped in Figure 5d.

5.7.4 Two re-sightings were noted in June 2015. On 4 June 2015, HZMB 069 was sighted in NWL. HZMB 069 was first sighted in October 2012 and last sighted in August 2013. All sightings have been in NWL. HZMB 108 was sighted on 11 June 2015. HZMB 108 has been sighted once previously, also in August 2013. The previous sighting of HZMB 108 was in NEL. Images and re-sightings data are included in Appendix K.

5.7.5 Noteworthy Observation¹:

5.7.5.1 When impact monitoring was conducted at the southern parts of transect lines 1 & 2, the view of the area was partially blocked by the working vessels and fixed structures which do not belong to HKBCF Reclamation Works. The number of fixed structures has increased and in many areas, it is no longer possible to pass between them by ship. As the working vessels will move during the on-going works, it is considered that they will temporarily affect survey protocol, survey data collection, dolphin movement, dolphin habitat use and dolphin behaviour, whereas the fixed structures will continuously affect survey protocol, survey data collection, dolphin movement, dolphin habitat use and dolphin behaviour.

5.7.5.2 The HKBCF and adjoining “Southern Landfall” Projects effected lines 11 and 12. The view of the area was partially blocked by the working vessels and in water structures. As the working vessels will move as construction progresses, they will cause temporary effects to survey protocol and survey data collection. In time, the fixed structures will affect all survey protocols and dolphin ecology in the long term.

¹ A noteworthy observation is to show that either the conduct of the surveys themselves is affected, i.e., the noted vessel or works impedes the progress or view of the survey platform. In addition, the vessel or construction works may be different or additional to that observed previously and further, are of such a nature that they are a likely to create an impact on the movement or behaviour of the subject of the impact survey, in this case, the dolphins.

- 5.7.5.3 The northern end of lines 10 was affected by works which do not belong to the HKBCF Project; in particular, the view of the area was partially blocked by the now fixed structure. An anchorage also is located in this area. Due to its permanency, the reclamation will continuously affect all survey protocols and dolphin ecology.
- 5.7.5.4 Anchored fishing vessels were noted on lines 1 and 2. In previous encounters, dolphins were seen feeding in association with these vessels despite them not being active. This may influence both dolphin behavior and the view of the area.
- 5.7.5.5 New projects were ongoing at the southern ends of line 3 and line 5. There are no apparent fixed structures associated with this project only platforms and servicing vessels. As it is not known what activity was being conducted, the effect that this project may have specifically on dolphins is not known.
- 5.7.5.6 The survey effort log notes the areas in which the visibility is limited or the survey is affected so that these can be accounted for in any subsequent analyses. Some of these obstructions will become permanent and some will be temporary as the HZMB is built and other projects progress. It is advised that the impact monitoring surveys should be completed as close to the predefined lines as possible (as per Figure 4 of this report).
- 5.7.5.7 The above noteworthy observations are largely a result of multiple and on-going infrastructure projects within the Lantau area. No amendment to EM&A protocols can negate the effects of these projects, e.g., it is a highly dynamic environment and viewing conditions may alter every survey (sometimes within surveys) and most of the survey area is affected, to some degree, by marine construction works. Instead, survey data analyses should incorporate any noteworthy observations which may affect either data collection or dolphin distribution and behavioural changes. The above mentioned activities recorded during boat survey will not affect implementation of the EM&A Programme provided appropriate data analyses are conducted.
- 5.7.5.8 The works at lines 1, 2 and 11 are progressing and permanent in water structures are in place. As per submitted monthly GPS positions, the boat routes have travelled around and between the ongoing works and have attempted to continue each line to its full extent. When bridge works have impeded the progress of our vessel, this has been noted accordingly and photographic data submitted showing the extent of the line blockages. These data have been submitted to ENPO monthly. A review of survey conditions was conducted on 27 April 2015 and discussion with other project teams and ENPO reached an agreement on the new positions of some lines. The proposal was certified by ET on 3 June 2015, verified by IEC on 4 June 2015 and submitted to EPD via RE on 5 June 2015 for review. IEC/ENPO informed ET on 7 July 2015 that EPD had commented on the proposal on 30 June 2015 and had requested a comprehensive proposal applicable to both projects (HZMB HKBCF and HZMB HKLR).
- 5.7.5.9 It was not possible to propose such alteration of transect lines 1, 2 and 11 before the blockage occurred as it was only after the HZMB structures sections in that area became fully complete could the details of any transect line alteration be evaluated. In addition, the time frame for completion of small sections of the structures was not detailed in works schedules so it was not possible to determine beforehand when the route would be permanently affected. As such, it was not practicable to propose any transect line changes until after the part of the HKLR structure that effects lines 1 and 2 had been completed. Please see below the details for the proposed new points. These points have been determined after review of the site conditions and the previous months survey tracks.
- 5.7.5.10 Subsequently, it was agreed in a meeting jointly conducted with ET of both projects together with ENPO on 10 July 2015 that this projects original proposal to EPD would be withdrawn and instead a reference made to the revised proposal on alteration of transect lines prepared by the ET of Contract No. HY/2011/03 which was received by us on 13 July 2015 via email from ENPO. It is stated in the proposal prepared by Contract No. HY/2011/03 that a few survey lines (#7-9) are very close to the Airport Restricted Area which the survey vessel must avoid and, as such, an adequate buffer distance is proposed to allow the survey vessel to turn before infringing the Airport Restricted Area. Therefore, the revised proposal includes a buffer zone for lines #7-9 to reflect these constraints. The ET of this Contract has expressed no adverse comments on the content of the revised proposal prepared by Contract No. HY/2011/03 and has submitted such for ENPO/IEC for agreement on 29 July 2015. The proposal should subsequently be submitted to EPD for their review and approval.

5.7.5.11 It is considered EP conditions is complied with, as all transect lines are still travelled to the best of the monitoring vessels ability given that there are now large permanent structures directly over the path of some transects and working barges. These will continue until any new transect line start/end points is formally approved. All noteworthy observations shall continue to be reported so IEC/ENPO continue to have all details.

5.7.6 The event action plan is annexed in Appendix L.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

6.1 Site Inspection

6.1.1 Site Inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. In the reporting month, 5 site inspections were carried out on 2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 July 2015.

6.1.2 Particular observations during the site inspections are described below:

Air Quality

6.1.3 Dark smoke emission from plant/equipment was observed at Portion D and C1a, the Contractor was reminded to ensure dark smoke emission from plant/equipment should be avoided. The Contractor prevented dark smoke emission of plant/equipment. (Closed)

6.1.4 Fugitive dust was observed when vehicle was drove pass portion C2c and road at Portion B, E2 and during rock filling. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient watering to ensure generation of fugitive dust is prevented. The Contractor provided watering to ensure generation of fugitive dust is prevented. (Closed)

6.1.5 Watering was observed during site walk, the contractor was reminded to continue to provide sufficient dust control measures and ensure generation of fugitive dust is prevented. (Reminder)

6.1.6 Fugitive dust was generated when vehicle passed through road at Portion B and E2 and during rock filling. The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient dust control measure to ensure generation of fugitive dust could be effectively prevented. Subsequently, the Contractor provides sufficient dust control measure to prevent generation of fugitive dust. (Closed)

Noise

6.1.7 No relevant adverse impact was observed in the reporting month.

Water Quality

6.1.8 Tipping of rock material to the sea was observed at Portion D, the Contractor was reminded to keep the tipping point as low as possible. (Reminder)

Chemical and Waste Management

6.1.9 Water was observed inside drip tray at workshop area, the Contractor was reminded to clear the water accumulated inside drip tray to prevent runoff. The Contractor subsequently cleared the water accumulated in the drip tray. (Closed)

6.1.10 Oil drums were observed without drip tray at workshop area, the Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray to oil drums. The Contractor subsequently removed the oil drums from the area. (Closed)

6.1.11 Oil stain was observed on ground at workshop area; the Contractor was reminded to clean the oil stain and disposed them of as chemical waste, subsequently, the Contractor cleared the oil stain and disposed them of as chemical waste. (Closed)

6.1.12 Oil drums were observed without drip tray on barge, the Contractor was reminded to provide drip tray to oil drums. The Contractor subsequently removed the oil drum from the area. (Closed)

6.1.13 General refuse was observed at entrance area of workshop at portion C1a and C2c. The Contractor was reminded to keep the site clean and tidy, the Contractor was reminded clean the general refuse and to provide rubbish bin with cover/lid to works area. The Contractor subsequently cleared the general refuse at works area. (Closed)

6.1.14 Floating debris on water surface at Portion D was observed. The Contractor was reminded to remove the debris on sea regularly. The Contractor removed the debris on sea. (Closed)

- 6.1.15 Temporary waste storage or rubbish bin was not provided on land area of Portion B beside Portion E2. To keep the site clean and tidy, the Contractor was reminded to provide rubbish bin with cover/lid to works area. (Reminder)

Landscape and Visual Impact

- 6.1.16 No relevant adverse impact was observed in the reporting month.

Others

- 6.1.17 Rectifications of remaining identified items are undergoing by the Contractor. Follow-up inspections on the status on provision of mitigation measures will be conducted to ensure all identified items are mitigated properly.

6.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

- 6.2.1 The Contractor had registered as a chemical waste producer for this Project. Receptacles were available for general refuse collection and sorting.
- 6.2.2 As advised by the Contractor, 60,710.8m³ of fill were imported for the Project use in the reporting period. 15kg of metal, 475kg of paper/cardboard packaging, 2kg of plastics and 58.5m³ of general refuse were generated and disposed of in the reporting period. Monthly summary of waste flow table is detailed in Appendix M.
- 6.2.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes storage, collection, sorting and recording system, dispose of C&D materials and wastes at designated ground and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes. The Contractor is reminded to properly maintain the site tidiness and dispose of the wastes accumulated on site regularly and properly.
- 6.2.4 The Contractor is reminded that chemical waste should be properly treated and stored temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labeling and Storage of Chemical Wastes.

6.3 Environmental Licenses and Permits

6.3.1 The environmental licenses and permits for the Project and valid in the reporting month is summarized in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Statutory Reference	License/ Permit	License or Permit No.	Valid Period		License/ Permit Holder	Remarks
			From	To		
EIAO	Environmental Permit	EP-353/2009/I	17/07/2015	N/A	HyD	Hong Kong – Zhuhai – Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities
		EP-354/2009/D	13/03/2015	N/A		Tuen Mun – Chek Lap Kok Link (TMCLKL Southern Landfall Reclamation only)
APCO	NA notification	--	30/12/2011	--	CHEC	Works Area WA2 and WA3
APCO	NA notification	--	17/01/2012	--	CHEC	Works Area WA4
WDO	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-951-C1186-21	30/3/2012	N/A	CHEC	Chemical waste produced in Contract HY/2010/02
WDO	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-974-C3750-01	31/10/2012	--	CHEC	Registration as Chemical Waste Producer at To Kau Wan(WA4)
WDO	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5213-839-C3750-02	13/09/2012	--	CHEC	Registration as Chemical Waste Producer at TKO 137(FB)
WDO	Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	7014181	05/12/2011	N/A	CHEC	Waste disposal in Contract HY/2010/02
NCO	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RS0503-15	10/05/2015	10/08/2015	CHEC	Reclamation Works in Contract HY/2010/02
NCO	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RS0773-15	17/07/2015	20/10/2015	CHEC	Reclamation Works in Contract HY/2010/02
NCO	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RE0622-15	21/06/2015	20/12/2015	CHEC	Section of TKO Fill Bank under Contract HY/2010/02

6.4 Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

6.4.1 In response to the site audit findings, the Contractors carried out corrective actions.

6.4.2 A summary of the Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures (EMIS) is presented in Appendix C. Most of the necessary mitigation measures were implemented properly.

6.4.3 Training of marine travel route for marine vessels operator was given to relevant staff and relevant records were kept properly.

6.4.4 Regarding the implementation of dolphin monitoring and protection measures (i.e. implementation of Dolphin Watching Plan, Dolphin Exclusion Zone and Silt Curtain integrity Check), regular checking

were conducted by the experienced MMOs within the works area to ensure no dolphin was trapped by the enclosed silt curtain systems. Any dolphin spotted within the enclosed silt curtain systems was reported and recorded. Relevant procedures were followed and measures were well implemented. Silt curtain systems were also inspected timely in accordance to the submitted plan. All inspection records were kept properly.

- 6.4.5 Acoustic decoupling measures on noisy plants on construction vessels were checked regularly and the Contractor was reminded to ensure provision of ongoing maintenance to noisy plants and to carry out improvement work once insufficient acoustic decoupling measures were found.
- 6.4.6 Frequency of watering per day on exposed soil was checked; with reference to the record provided by the Contract, watering was conducted at least 8 times per day on reclaimed land. The frequency of watering is the mainly refer to water truck. Sprinklers are only served to strengthen dust control measure for busy traffic at the entrance of Portion D. As informed by the Contractor, during the malfunction period of sprinkler, water truck will enhance watering at such area. The Contractor was reminded to ensure provision of watering of at least 8 times per day on all exposed soil within the Project site and associated works areas throughout the construction phase.
- 6.4.7 Please note that as informed by the Contractor, an area of Portion B has been handed over to other Contract and the perimeter silt curtain near this area of Portion B has been rearranged on 31 July 2015 for berthing another Contractor's vessels (which do not belong to this Contract). IEC/ENPO was informed on 5 Aug 2015 immediately after ET's review. IEC/ENPO provided further comments on 14 August 2015 and as on the date when this report is submitted, the situation is under separate investigation conducted by ENPO and ET. The investigation results will be reported in the next reporting month.

6.5 Summary of Exceedances of the Environmental Quality Performance Limit

- 6.5.1 All 1-Hour TSP and 24-Hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Level in the reporting month.
- 6.5.2 For construction noise, no exceedance was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 6.5.3 For water quality, two (2) Action Level Exceedances of suspended solids were recorded at IS5 and IS(Mf)6 during flood tide, the exceedances were confirmed after checking against relevant control station(s) during flood tide i.e. CS6, CSA and CS(Mf)5 following the Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality. After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the recorded exceedances are related to this Contract.
- 6.5.4 A total of four sightings were made, two "on effort" and two "opportunistic". Two sightings were recorded on 6 July 2015 and two on 28 July 2015. Sighting details are summarised and plotted in Appendix K and Figure 5c, respectively. The first group sighted on 6 July 2015 contained 3 individuals and the second group on that day contained 2 individuals. The first group sighted on 28 July 2015 contained 9 individuals and the second group 4 individuals.
- 6.5.5 Behaviour: On 6 July 2015, the first group sighted was engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. On 28 July 2015, the first group was also engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. No calves were sighted in July 2015. Locations of sighting with different behaviour are mapped in Figure 5d.
- 6.5.6 Environmental site inspection was carried out 5 times in July 2015. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractors for the deficiencies identified during the site audits.
- 6.5.7 Cumulative statistics on exceedance is provided in Appendix N.

6.6 Summary of Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- 6.6.1 The Environmental Complaint Handling Procedure is annexed in Figure 6.
- 6.6.2 As informed by the Contractor, 3 July 2015, an air quality complaint was received on 11 June 2015 by HyD via complaint hotline 1823. The complainant complained that sand and dust pollution near

Richland Garden, 138 Wu Chui Road, Tuen Mun, caused by sand delivery barges. After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the observed impact is related to this Contract.

6.6.2.1 Investigation Actions:

- Reviewed 1-hour TSP and 24-hours TSP monitoring data within the complaint period 2- 29 June 2015.
- Site inspections were conducted jointly with RSS, IEC and the Contractor on 11 June 2015 and jointly with RSS and the Contractor on 4, 18 and 25 June 2015.
- Reviewed information provided by the Contractor.

6.6.2.2 Investigation findings:

- There is no sufficient information provided by the complainant to make sure that the concerned barges are related to this project.
- Date of the observed impact was not specified by the complainant so the impact air quality monitoring (IAQM) results between 2- 29 June 2015 for monitoring stations close to the concerned area – AQMS1, ASR1, ASR5, ASR6 and ASR10 have been reviewed and there was no action/limit level exceedance of 1-hour TSP or 24-hour TSP of impact air quality monitoring results recorded at AQMS1, ASR1, ASR5, ASR6 and ASR10 between 2- 29 June 2015.
- In addition, site inspections were conducted jointly with RSS, IEC and the Contractor on 11 June 2015 and jointly with RSS and the Contractor on 4, 18 and 25 June 2015, but no generation of fugitive dust was observed to be caused by barges loaded with filling material.
- As informed by the Contract, no sand barge of this Contract was berthed near Tuen Mun area in June 2015.

6.6.2.3 After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the observed impact is related to this Contract.

6.6.2.4 The Contractor was advised to ensure to continue the provision of fugitive dust mitigation measures to barges loaded with filling material such as watering to sand filling material on sand barges, as necessary.

6.6.3 As informed by ER of this Contract on 13 July 2015, EPD referred a noise related complaint to this Contract on 13 July 2015, details as follows:

- A complainant complained that serious noise nuisance was caused by loading and unloading of construction material of barges at construction site of HZMB artificial island near Tung Chung development pier during late night period. The complainant requested follow-up and reply.
- A complainant left message at EPD's complaint hotline on 11 July 2015 and complained that construction noise was generated even after 23:00 at night from the artificial island outside Seaview Crescent, this situation has last over 10 days and requested follow-up.

6.6.3.1 As further informed by ENPO which further checked with EPD regarding the captioned complaint, with respect to the further information provided by EPD, two complaints could be referred as the same incident. Further complaint detail was given by EPD to project team of this Contract on 15 July 2015 as follows:

- The complainant complained noise came from BCF site near HK Skycity Marriott Hotel during nighttime period of the past 10 days which involves excavation with a grab dredger, transfer of excavated material using a derrick barge and a tug boat, and backfilling with a pelican barge. Based on EPD's record, the above activities are covered by CNP no. GW-RS0503-15.

6.6.3.2 Investigation Actions:

- Review of valid CNP no. GW-RS0503-15.

- Review of Contractor's construction activities conducted at BCF site near HK Skycity Marriott Hotel, Zone D of CNP No.GW-RS0503-15, between 23:00 till 07:00 of next day on 1 - 13 July 2015
- Review of Contractor compliance checking record.

6.6.3.3 Investigation and Findings:

- After review of the valid CNP no. GW-RS0503-15 for this Contract, operation of a grab dredger, a derrick barge, a tug boat, and pelican barge during nighttime period is covered by CNP no. GW-RS0503-15 between 1- 13 July 2015.
- With referred to the site dairy summary records provided by the Contractor, no more than 1 vessel (dredger or derrick) operated at the same time between 23:00 till 07:00 of next day on 1 - 13 July 2015 at Zone D of CNP No.GW-RS0503-15 (please see attached Plan no.1 for respective zones). This shows that the construction activities carried out after 23:00 from 01 July to 13 July 2015 at Zone D complied with the conditions of a valid CNP No.GW-RS0503-15. Construction activities conducted between 23:00 till 07:00 of next day on 1 - 13 July 2015 at Zone D of CNP No.GW-RS0503-15 were summarised on layout maps attached.
- Compliance checking records of 1- 13 July 2015 provided by the Contractor were reviewed and record shows that construction works were carried out in compliance with the CNP no. GW-RS0503-15 in effect.
- Further informed by the Contractor on 15 July 2015 EPD spot-checked the construction site of this Contract in the afternoon of 15 July 2015 and on 16 July 2015, EPD spot-checked the construction site of this Contract from 23:35 15 July 2015 to 01:55 16 July 2015. No adverse comments or non-conformance was observed by the EPD on both visits. The Contractor was reminded by EPD to strictly follow with all terms and conditions of the CNP no. GW-RS0503-15.
- As a result, the construction activities carried out during restricted hour between 1- 13 July 2015 were considered complied with conditions CNP no. GW-RS0503-15.

6.6.3.4 The Contractor was reminded to continue to strictly follow with all terms and conditions of a valid CNP.

6.6.4 As informed by the Contractor on 30 July 2015, Home Affairs Department referred a complaint to project team of this Contract on 29 July 2015. The complaint involved Mr. Chan and Mr. Tang, Resident Representatives of Tong Fuk Village who complained significant sand loss of Tong Fuk Beach, particularly after typhoon when the beach was hit by strong waves; this exposed the rocks at the beach. The complainant enquired whether the sand loss is related to sand extraction for construction of airport and reclamation works of HZMB artificial island.

6.6.4.1 Investigation action:

- Review Contractor's source of sand filling material.

6.6.4.2 Investigation result:

- The Contractor of HKBCF Reclamation Works confirmed that this Contract did not have any sand filling material that was sourced from the captioned area. As such, it is unlikely that the reported sand loss is attributed to construction activities of this Contract.

6.6.4.3 The complaint is considered as non-project related.

6.6.5 No notification of summons and successful prosecutions was received in the reporting period.

6.6.6 Statistics on complaints, notifications of summons and successful prosecutions are summarized in Appendix N.

7 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.2 Construction Programme for the Coming Months

7.2.1 As informed by the Contractor, the major works for the Project in August and September 2015 will be *-

Marine-base

- Cellular structure – Capping Beams
- Rock fill
- Maintenance of silt curtain & silt screen at sea water intake of HKIA

Land-base

- Surcharge removal & laying
- Deep Cement Mixing
- Removal of Temporary Seawall
- Vertical Band Drains
- Installations of Precast Culverts except sloping outfalls
- Maintenance works of Site Office at Works Area WA2
- Maintenance works of Public Works Regional Laboratory at Works Area WA3
- Maintenance of Temporary Marine Access at Works Area WA2

*Construction activities in August and September 2015 will be changed subject to works progress.

7.3 Key Issues for the Coming Month

7.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming months:-

- Site runoff should be properly collected and treated prior to discharge;
- Minimize loss of sediment from filling works;
- Regular review and maintenance of silt curtain systems, drainage systems and desilting facilities;
- Exposed surfaces/soil stockpiles should be properly treated to avoid generation of silty surface runoff during rainstorm;
- Regular review and maintenance of wheel washing facilities provided at all site entrances/exits;
- Conduct regular inspection of various working machineries and vessels within works areas to avoid any dark smoke emission;
- Suppress dust generated from work processes with use of bagged cements, earth movements, excavation activities, exposed surfaces/soil stockpiles and haul road traffic;
- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used;
- Provision of proper and effective noise control measures for operating equipment and machinery on-site, such as erection of movable noise barriers or enclosure for noisy plants;
- Closely check and replace the sound insulation materials regularly;
- Better scheduling of construction works to minimize noise nuisance;
- Properly store and label oil drums and chemical containers placed on site;
- Proper chemicals, chemical wastes and wastes management;
- Maintenance works should be carried out within roofed, paved and confined areas;
- Collection and segregation of construction waste and general refuse on land and in the sea should be carried out properly and regularly; and
- Proper protection and regular inspection of existing trees, transplanted/retained trees.
- Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.
- Regular review and provide maintenance to dust control measures such as sprinkler system.

7.4 Monitoring Schedule for the Coming Month

7.4.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in August 2015 is provided in Appendix F.

8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.2 Conclusions

- 8.2.1 The construction phase and EM&A programme of the Project commenced on 12 March 2012.
- 8.2.2 All 1-Hour TSP and 24-Hour TSP results were below the Action and Limit Level in the reporting month.
- 8.2.3 For construction noise, no exceedance was recorded at all monitoring stations in the reporting month.
- 8.2.4 For water quality, two (2) Action Level Exceedances of suspended solids were recorded at IS5 and IS(Mf)6 during flood tide, the exceedances were confirmed after checking against relevant control station(s) during flood tide i.e. CS6, CSA and CS(Mf)5 following the Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality. After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the recorded exceedances are related to this Contract.
- 8.2.5 A total of four sightings were made, two “on effort” and two “opportunistic”. Two sightings were recorded on 6 July 2015 and two on 28 July 2015. Sighting details are summarised and plotted in Appendix K and Figure 5c, respectively. The first group sighted on 6 July 2015 contained 3 individuals and the second group on that day contained 2 individuals. The first group sighted on 28 July 2015 contained 9 individuals and the second group 4 individuals.
- 8.2.6 Behaviour: On 6 July 2015, the first group sighted was engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. On 28 July 2015, the first group was also engaged in multiple activities, i.e., feeding and surface active behavior, and the second group was travelling. No calves were sighted in July 2015. Locations of sighting with different behaviour are mapped in Figure 5d.
- 8.2.7 As informed by the Contractor, 3 July 2015, an air quality complaint has been received on 11 June 2015 by HyD via complaint hotline 1823. The complainant complained that sand and dust pollution near Richland Garden, 138 Wu Chui Road, Tuen Mun, caused by sand delivery barges. After investigation, there is no adequate information to conclude the observed impact is related to this Contract.
- 8.2.8 As informed by ER of this Contract on 13 July 2015, EPD referred a noise related complaint to this Contract on 13 July 2015. The complainant complained noise came from BCF site near HK Skycity Marriott Hotel during nighttime period of the past 10 days which involves excavation with a grab dredger, transfer of excavated material using a derrick barge and a tug boat, and backfilling with a pelican barge. Based on EPD’s record, the above activities are covered by CNP no. GW-RS0503-15. After investigation, the construction activities carried out during restricted hour between 1- 13 July 2015 were considered complied with CNP conditions (no. GW-RS0503-15).
- 8.2.9 As informed by the Contractor on 30 July, Home Affairs Department referred a complaint to project team of this Contract on 29 July 2015. The complaint involved Mr. Chan and Mr. Tang, Resident Representatives of Tong Fuk Village who complained significant sand loss of Tong Fuk Beach, particularly after typhoon when the beach was hit by strong waves; this exposed the rocks at the beach. The complainant enquired whether the sand loss is related to sand extraction for construction of airport and reclamation works of HZMB artificial island. After investigation, the complaint is considered as non-project related.
- 8.2.10 No notification of summons or prosecution was received in the reporting period.
- 8.2.11 Environmental site inspection was carried out 5 times in July 2015. Recommendations on remedial actions were given to the Contractors for the deficiencies identified during the site audits.

8.3 Recommendations

8.3.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality Impact

- All working plants and vessels on site should be regularly inspected and properly maintained to avoid dark smoke emission.
- All vehicles should be washed to remove any dusty materials before leaving the site.
- Haul roads should be sufficiently dampened to minimize fugitive dust generation.
- Wheel washing facilities should be properly maintained and reviewed to ensure properly functioning.
- Temporary exposed slopes and open stockpiles should be properly covered.
- Enclosure should be erected for cement debagging, batching and mixing operations.
- Water spraying should be provided to suppress fugitive dust for any dusty construction activity.
- Regular review and provide maintenance to dust control measures such as sprinkler system.

Construction Noise Impact

- Quieter powered mechanical equipment should be used as far as possible.
- Noisy operations should be oriented to a direction away from sensitive receivers as far as possible.
- Proper and effective noise control measures for operating equipment and machinery on-site should be provided, such as erection of movable noise barriers, enclosure for noisy plants or enhancement works to provide sufficient acoustic decoupling measure(s). Closely check and replace the sound insulation materials regularly
- Vessels and equipment operating should be checked regularly and properly maintained.
- Noise Emission Label (NEL) shall be affixed to the air compressor and hand-held breaker operating within works area.
- Acoustic decoupling measures should be properly implemented for all existing and incoming construction vessels with continuous and regularly checking to ensure effective implementation of acoustic decoupling measures.

Water Quality Impact

- Regular review and maintenance of silt curtain systems, drainage systems and desilting facilities in order to make sure they are functioning effectively.
- Construction of seawall should be completed as early as possible.
- Regular inspect and review the loading process from barges to avoid splashing of material.
- Silt, debris and leaves accumulated at public drains, wheel washing bays and perimeter u-channels and desilting facilities should be cleaned up regularly.
- Silty effluent should be treated/ desilted before discharged. Untreated effluent should be prevented from entering public drain channel.
- Proper drainage channels/bunds should be provided at the site boundaries to collect/intercept the surface run-off from works areas.
- Exposed slopes and stockpiles should be covered up properly during rainstorm.

Chemical and Waste Management

- All types of wastes, both on land and floating in the sea, should be collected and sorted properly and disposed of timely and properly. They should be properly stored in designated areas within works areas temporarily.
- All chemical containers, batteries and oil drums should be properly stored and labelled.
- All plants and vehicles on site should be properly maintained to prevent oil leakage. Proper measures, like drip trays and/or bundings, should be provided for retaining leaked oil/chemical from plants.
- All kinds of maintenance works should be carried out within roofed, paved and confined areas.
- All drain holes of the drip trays utilized within works areas should be properly plugged to avoid any oil and chemical waste leakage.
- Oil stains on soil surface, accumulated oil mixture and empty chemical containers should be cleared and disposed of as chemical waste.
- Regular review should be conducted for working barges and patrol boats to ensure sufficient measures and spill control kits were provided on working barges and patrol boats to avoid any spreading of leaked oil/chemicals.

Landscape and Visual Impact

- All existing, retained/transplanted trees at the works areas should be properly fenced off and regularly inspected.
- Control night-time lighting and glare by hooding all lights.