

**CONTRACT NO. HY/2013/04**

**Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Hong Kong Boundary Crossing  
Facilities – Infrastructure Works Stage II (Southern Portion)  
Dolphin Monthly Monitoring (Operational Phase)**

*12<sup>th</sup> Monthly Progress Report (February 2020)*

*Submitted to Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited &  
China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Limited*

Submitted by  
Samuel K.Y. Hung, Ph.D.,  
Hong Kong Cetacean Research Project

28 February 2020

**1. Introduction**

- 1.1. For the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge (HZMB) Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities (HKBCF), its operation requires the contractor (i.e. China State Construction Engineering (Hong Kong) Limited) and the associated Environmental Team, Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited, to implement the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during the operational phase.
- 1.2. According to the HKBCF EM&A Manual, monthly line-transect vessel surveys for Chinese White Dolphins should be conducted to cover the Northwest (NWL) and Northeast Lantau (NEL) survey areas, which should be the same as in AFCD annual marine mammal monitoring programme. However, as such monitoring surveys have been undertaken by the TMCLKL08 EM&A project (i.e. Contract No. HY/2012/08 HZMB Tuen Mun – Chek Lap Kok Link (Northern Connection Sub-sea Tunnel Section)) in the same areas (i.e. NWL and NEL), a combined monitoring approach is recommended by the Highways Department, that the HKBCF EM&A project should utilize the monitoring data collected by TMCLKL08 EM&A project to avoid any redundancy in monitoring effort.
- 1.3. In April 2019, the Director of Hong Kong Cetacean Research Project (HKCRP), Dr.

Samuel Hung, has been appointed by the ET as the dolphin specialist for the operational phase of the HKBCF EM&A project. He is responsible for the dolphin monitoring study, including the collection and collation of dolphin monitoring data from the TMCLKL08 EM&A project to examine any potential impacts of HKBCF during the operational phase on the dolphins. From the monitoring results, any changes in dolphin occurrence within the study area will be reviewed for possible causes, and appropriate actions and additional mitigation measures will be recommended as necessary.

- 1.4. This 12<sup>th</sup> monthly progress report of the HKBCF operational phase dolphin monitoring programme is submitted to the environmental team and the contractor, summarizing the results of the survey findings during the month of February 2020 by utilizing the survey data collected from the TMCLKL08 EM&A project.

## 2. Monitoring Methodology

### 2.1. Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

- 2.1.1. According to the requirement of the updated EM&A manual, the dolphin monitoring programme should cover all transect lines in NEL and NWL survey areas (see Figure 1) twice per month throughout the entire operational monitoring period. The co-ordinates of all transect lines are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Co-ordinates of transect lines

Line No.		Easting	Northing		Line No.		Easting	Northing
1	Start Point	804671	815456		13	Start Point	816506	819480
1	End Point	804671	831404		13	End Point	816506	824859
2	Start Point	805476	820800		14	Start Point	817537	820220
2	End Point	805476	826654		14	End Point	817537	824613
3	Start Point	806464	821150		15	Start Point	818568	820735
3	End Point	806464	822911		15	End Point	818568	824433
4	Start Point	807518	821500		16	Start Point	819532	821420
4	End Point	807518	829230		16	End Point	819532	824209
5	Start Point	808504	821850		17	Start Point	820451	822125
5	End Point	808504	828602		17	End Point	820451	823671

6	Start Point	809490	822150		18	Start Point	821504	822371
6	End Point	809490	825352		18	End Point	821504	823761
7	Start Point	810499	822000		19	Start Point	822513	823268
7	End Point	810499	824613		19	End Point	822513	824321
8	Start Point	811508	821123		20	Start Point	823477	823402
8	End Point	811508	824254		20	End Point	823477	824613
9	Start Point	812516	821303		21	Start Point	805476	827081
9	End Point	812516	824254		21	End Point	805476	830562
10	Start Point	813525	821176		22	Start Point	806464	824033
10	End Point	813525	824657		22	End Point	806464	829598
11	Start Point	814556	818853		23	Start Point	814559	821739
11	End Point	814556	820992		23	End Point	814559	824768
12	Start Point	815542	818807		24	Start Point	805476	815900
12	End Point	815542	824882		24	End Point	805476	819100

2.1.2. The TMCLKL08 survey team used standard line-transect methods (Buckland et al. 2001) to conduct the systematic vessel surveys, and followed the same technique of data collection that has been adopted over the last 22 years of marine mammal monitoring surveys in Hong Kong developed by HKCRP (see Hung 2019). For each monitoring vessel survey, a 15-m inboard vessel with an open upper deck (about 4.5 m above water surface) was used to make observations from the flying bridge area.

2.1.3. Two experienced observers (a data recorder and a primary observer) made up the on-effort survey team, and the survey vessel transited different transect lines at a constant speed of 13-15 km per hour. The data recorder searched with unaided eyes and filled out the datasheets, while the primary observer searched for dolphins and porpoises continuously through 7 x 50 *Fujinon* marine binoculars. Both observers searched the sea ahead of the vessel, between 270° and 90° (in relation to the bow, which is defined as 0°). One to two additional experienced observers were available on the boat to work in shift (i.e. rotate every 30 minutes) in order to minimize fatigue of the survey team members. All observers were experienced in small cetacean survey techniques and identifying local cetacean species.

2.1.4. During on-effort survey periods, the survey team recorded effort data including time,

position (latitude and longitude), weather conditions (Beaufort sea state and visibility), and distance traveled in each series (a continuous period of search effort) with the assistance of a handheld GPS (*Garmin eTrex Legend*).

- 2.1.5. Data including time, position and vessel speed were also automatically and continuously logged by handheld GPS throughout the entire survey for subsequent review.
- 2.1.6. When dolphins were sighted, the survey team would end the survey effort, and immediately record the initial sighting distance and angle of the dolphin group from the survey vessel, as well as the sighting time and position. Then the research vessel was diverted from its course to approach the animals for species identification, group size estimation, assessment of group composition, and behavioural observations. The perpendicular distance (PSD) of the dolphin group to the transect line was later calculated from the initial sighting distance and angle.
- 2.1.7. Survey effort being conducted along the parallel transect lines that were perpendicular to the coastlines (as indicated in Figure 1) was labeled as “primary” survey effort, while the survey effort conducted along the connecting lines between parallel lines was labeled as “secondary” survey effort. According to HKCRP long-term dolphin monitoring data, encounter rates of Chinese White Dolphins deduced from effort and sighting data collected along primary and secondary lines were similar in NEL and NWL survey areas. Therefore, both primary and secondary survey effort were presented as on-effort survey effort in this report.
- 2.1.8. Encounter rates of Chinese White Dolphins (number of on-effort sightings per 100 km of survey effort and number of dolphins from all on-effort sightings per 100 km of survey effort) were calculated in NEL and NWL survey areas in relation to the amount of survey effort conducted during each month of monitoring survey. Only data collected under Beaufort 3 or below condition would be used for encounter rate analysis. Dolphin encounter rates were calculated using primary survey effort alone, as well as the combined survey effort from both primary and secondary lines.

## 2.2. Photo-identification Work

- 2.2.1. When a group of Chinese White Dolphins were sighted during the line-transect survey, the TMCLKL08 survey team would end effort and approach the group slowly from the side and behind to take photographs of them. Every attempt was made to photograph every dolphin in the group, and even photograph both sides of the dolphins, since the colouration and markings on both sides may not be symmetrical.

- 2.2.2. A professional digital camera (*Canon* EOS 7D model), equipped with long telephoto lenses (100-400 mm zoom), were available on board for researchers to take sharp, close-up photographs of dolphins as they surfaced. The images were shot at the highest available resolution and stored on Compact Flash memory cards for downloading onto a computer.
- 2.2.3. All digital images taken in the field were first examined, and those containing potentially identifiable individuals were sorted out. These photographs would then be examined in greater detail, and were carefully compared to the existing Chinese White Dolphin photo-identification catalogue maintained by HKCRP since 1995.
- 2.2.4. Chinese White Dolphins can be identified by their natural markings, such as nicks, cuts, scars and deformities on their dorsal fin and body, and their unique spotting patterns were also used as secondary identifying features (Jefferson 2000).
- 2.2.5. All photographs of each individual were then compiled and arranged in chronological order, with data including the date and location first identified (initial sighting), re-sightings, associated dolphins, distinctive features, and age classes entered into a computer database.

### 3. Monitoring Results

#### 3.1. Vessel-based Line-transect Survey

- 3.1.1. Under the TMCLKL08 dolphin monitoring programme, two sets of systematic line-transect vessel surveys were conducted on the 10<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> of February 2020, to cover all transect lines in NWL and NEL survey areas twice during the monitoring month. The survey routes of each survey day are presented in Figures 2-5.
- 3.1.2. From the two sets of TMCLKL08 monitoring surveys conducted in February 2020, 258.86 km of survey effort was collected, with 100% of the total survey effort being conducted under favourable weather conditions (i.e. Beaufort Sea State 3 or below with good visibility) (Appendix I).
- 3.1.3. Among the NEL and NWL survey areas, 94.50 km and 164.36 km of survey effort were conducted respectively. Moreover, the total survey effort conducted on primary and secondary lines were 189.74 km and 69.12 km respectively (Appendix I).
- 3.1.4. A total of four groups of 16 Chinese White Dolphins were sighted during the two sets of

TMCLKL08 monitoring surveys conducted in NWL in February 2020, while no dolphin was sighted at all in NEL (Appendix II). All four dolphin groups were sighted on primary lines during on-effort search, and they were not associated with any operating fishing vessel (Appendix II).

3.1.5. Distribution of the four dolphin sightings made during this monitoring month is shown in Figure 6. Two dolphin groups were sighted near the western territorial border to the west of Lung Kwu Chau, and two other sightings were located within Urmston Road (at the section between Lung Kwu Chau and Lung Kwu Tan) as well as to the west of the airport platform respectively (Figure 6). All four sightings were located very far away from the HKBCF work site.

3.1.6. From the TMCLKL08 surveys conducted in February 2020, the encounter rates of Chinese White Dolphins deduced from the survey effort and on-effort sighting data made under favourable conditions (Beaufort 3 or below) are shown in Tables 2 & 3.

Table 2. Dolphin encounter rates deduced from the two sets of TMCLKL08 surveys (two surveys in each set) conducted in February 2020 in Northeast (NEL) and Northwest Lantau (NWL)

		<b>Encounter rate (STG)</b> (no. of on-effort dolphin sightings per 100 km of survey effort)	<b>Encounter rate (ANI)</b> (no. of dolphins from all on-effort sightings per 100 km of survey effort)
		<b>Primary Lines Only</b>	<b>Primary Lines Only</b>
<b>NEL</b>	Set 1: February 10 <sup>th</sup> / 18 <sup>th</sup>	0.0	0.0
	Set 2: February 20 <sup>th</sup> / 24 <sup>th</sup>	0.0	0.0
<b>NWL</b>	Set 1: February 10 <sup>th</sup> / 18 <sup>th</sup>	3.4	5.0
	Set 2: February 20 <sup>th</sup> / 24 <sup>th</sup>	3.4	22.1

Table 3. Overall dolphin encounter rates (sightings per 100 km of survey effort) from the two sets of TMCLKL08 surveys conducted in February 2020 on primary lines only as well as both primary lines and secondary lines in Northeast (NEL) and Northwest Lantau (NWL)

	<b>Encounter rate (STG)</b> (no. of on-effort dolphin sightings per 100 km of survey effort)		<b>Encounter rate (ANI)</b> (no. of dolphins from all on-effort sightings per 100 km of survey effort)	
	<b>Primary Lines Only</b>	<b>Both Primary and Secondary Lines</b>	<b>Primary Lines Only</b>	<b>Both Primary and Secondary Lines</b>
<b>Northeast Lantau</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Northwest Lantau</b>	3.4	2.4	13.5	9.7

3.1.7. During the February's surveys, the average dolphin group size was 4.0 individuals per group. Three of the four dolphin groups were composed of small groups with 1-3 animals per group, while the other one was a large group composed of 13 dolphins (Appendix II).

### 3.2. *Photo-identification Work*

3.2.1. During the two sets of TMCLKL08 monitoring surveys conducted in February 2020, a total of ten known individuals were sighted 13 times (Appendix III and IV). Seven of the 10 known individuals were re-sighted only once, while three individuals (NL182, NL202 and NL261) were re-sighted twice during the monthly surveys conducted in February 2020.

3.2.2. Notably, none of the individuals was sighted with any young calf during their re-sightings in February 2020.

## 4. Conclusion

4.1. During this month of dolphin monitoring, no adverse impact from the operation of HKBCF on Chinese White Dolphins was noticeable from general observations.

4.2. Due to the monthly variation in dolphin occurrence within the study area, it would be more appropriate to draw conclusion on whether any impacts on dolphins have been detected in relation to the operation of HKBCF in the quarterly EM&A reports, where comparison on distribution, group size and encounter rates of dolphins between the quarterly operational monitoring period, impact monitoring period and baseline monitoring period will be made.

## 5. References

Buckland, S. T., Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P., Laake, J. L., Borchers, D. L., and Thomas, L. 2001. Introduction to distance sampling: estimating abundance of biological populations. Oxford University Press, London.

Hung, S. K. 2019. Monitoring of Marine Mammals in Hong Kong waters: final report (2018-19). An unpublished report submitted to the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department, 140 pp.

Jefferson, T. A. 2000. Population biology of the Indo-Pacific hump-backed dolphin in Hong Kong waters. *Wildlife Monographs* 144:1-65.






Figure 1. Transect Line Layout in Northwest and Northeast Lantau Survey Areas




Figure 2. Survey Route on February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (from TMCLKL08 project)




Figure 3. Survey Route on February 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (from TMCLKL08 project)




Figure 4. Survey Route on February 20<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (from TMCLKL08 project)




Figure 5. Survey Route on February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (from TMCLKL08 project)




Figure 6. Distribution of Chinese White Dolphin Sightings during February 2020 TMCLKL08 Monitoring Surveys

## Appendix I. TMCLKL Survey Effort Database (February 2020)

(Abbreviations: BEAU = Beaufort Sea State; P = Primary Line Effort; S = Secondary Line Effort)

DATE	AREA	BEAU	EFFORT	SEASON	VESSEL	TYPE	P/S
10-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	32.50	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
10-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	10.60	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
18-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	19.10	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
18-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	3	8.06	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
18-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	10.43	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
18-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	3	1.67	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
18-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	2	25.24	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
18-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	3	10.09	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
18-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	2	9.40	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
18-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	3	3.07	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
20-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	1	14.10	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
20-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	17.97	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
20-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	1	6.00	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
20-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	4.63	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
20-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	2	17.89	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
20-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	3	17.90	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
20-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	2	7.11	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
20-Feb-20	NE LANTAU	3	3.80	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
24-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	15.23	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
24-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	3	11.66	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	P
24-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	2	7.51	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S
24-Feb-20	NW LANTAU	3	4.90	WINTER	STANDARD36826	TMCLKL	S

**Appendix II. TMCLKL Chinese White Dolphin Sighting Database (February 2020)**

(Abberviations: STG# = Sighting Number; HRD SZ = Dolphin Herd Size; BEAU = Beaufort Sea State; PSD = Perpendicular Distance; BOAT ASSOC. = Fishing Boat Association; P/S: Sighting Made on Primary/Secondary Lines)

DATE	STG #	TIME	HRD SZ	AREA	BEAU	PSD	EFFORT	TYPE	NORTHING	EASTING	SEASON	BOAT ASSOC.	P/S
18-Feb-20	1	1014	1	NW LANTAU	2	94	ON	TMCLKL	818137	805450	WINTER	NONE	P
18-Feb-20	2	1059	2	NW LANTAU	3	176	ON	TMCLKL	826011	805136	WINTER	NONE	P
20-Feb-20	1	1057	10	NW LANTAU	2	272	ON	TMCLKL	825978	804817	WINTER	NONE	P
24-Feb-20	1	1115	3	NW LANTAU	2	69	ON	TMCLKL	826515	807537	WINTER	NONE	P



**Appendix III. Individual dolphins identified during TMCLKL monitoring surveys in (February 2020)**

<b>ID#</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>STG#</b>	<b>AREA</b>
NL120	24/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
NL182	18/02/20	2	NW LANTAU
	24/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
NL202	18/02/20	2	NW LANTAU
	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
NL261	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
	24/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
NL321	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
NL322	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
SL59	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
WL214	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
WL243	18/02/20	1	NW LANTAU
WL279	20/02/20	1	NW LANTAU



Appendix IV. Photographs of Identified Individual Dolphins in February 2020 (TMCLKL)



Appendix IV. (cont'd)