

**Contract No. HY/2011/03 -  
Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge  
Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities  
Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances**

Notification No.: 273s ver 1

**Date of Notification:** 29 March 2018

**Works Inspected:** Data collected from water sampling works on 21 March 2018 and the results were issued on 28 March 2018

**Monitoring Location:** Water Quality Monitoring Station

**Parameter:** ~~Dissolved Oxygen (DO)~~ Suspended Solid (SS) / Turbidity (TURB)

**Action & Limit Level (AL & LL) / Measured Level:**

PARAM	STATION	DEPTH	AL (mg/L)	LL (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID-EBB TIDE (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID-FLOOD TIDE (mg/L)
SS	SR3(N)	DA	<b>23.5</b> and 120% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 8.45 x 120% = <b>10.1</b> for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 5.22 x 120% = <b>6.3</b> for mid flood)	<b>34.4</b> and 130% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 8.45 x 130% = <b>11.0</b> for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 5.22 x 130% = <b>6.8</b> for mid flood)	10.2	<b>27.2</b>

Notes:

- 1) DA means depth average.
- 2) ***Bold Italic*** means AL exceedances.
- 3) ***Bold Italic with underline*** means LL exceedances.

**Possible reasons for Action Level Non-compliance:**

On 21 March 2018, an Action Level exceedance of suspended solid was recorded at station SR3(N) during mid-flood tide. The exceedance has been investigated and is considered unlikely to be related to the contract works due to the following reasons:

1. Seawall construction, road and drainage construction at Zones 1 and 2; land transportation of fill material, backfilling activities at Zones 2 and 3; seawall construction, box culvert construction and road and drainage work at Zone 3 were carried out on 21 March 2018. As confirmed by the Contractor, seawall construction at Zones 1, 2 and 3 were carried out within the properly deployed silt curtain as recommended in the EIA Report.
2. The ranges of suspended solid at station SR3 during the baseline monitoring are shown as below:

Station	Range of Suspended Solid (mg/L) Mid-Ebb Tide		Range of Suspended Solid (mg/L) Mid-Flood Tide	
	SR3	6.7	to	31


The measured value for mid-flood tide at station SR3(N) was below the range of suspended solid for mid-flood tide during baseline monitoring.

3. During sampling exercise, water appearance was observed moderate (water appearance was observed neither clear nor turbid) at station SR3(N). There was no marine transportation near station SR3(N) during sampling period and no marine works were conducted near station SR3(N) which is located outside the site boundary of HKLR03 Contract. No abnormality or malpractice for the contract works was observed during the sampling exercise.
4. There were no specific activities recorded during the monitoring period that would cause any significant impacts on the monitoring results. As such, the exceedance of suspended solid level is considered to be attributed to other external factors such as sea condition, rather than the contract works.

**Actions taken/ to be taken:**

As the suspended solid level recorded beyond the water quality criteria was not related to the contract works, no immediate actions are considered necessary. However, the Contractor is reminded to ensure that the silt curtain is fully maintained throughout the construction works and construction works are carried out under stringent supervision to prevent any water quality impacts to the seawater.

**Location Plan:**



Reviewed by : Claudine Lee

Title : ET Leader

Date : 16 April 2018

Copied to : Supervising Officer, IEC, EPD, Contractor, ENPO

**Contract No. HY/2011/03 -  
Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge  
Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities  
Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances**

Notification No.: 274s ver 1

**Date of Notification:** 6 April 2018

**Works Inspected:** Data collected from water sampling works on 23 March 2018 and the results were issued on 3 April 2018

**Monitoring Location:** Water Quality Monitoring Station

**Parameter:** ~~Dissolved Oxygen (DO)~~ Suspended Solid (SS) / ~~Turbidity (TURB)~~

**Action & Limit Level (AL & LL) / Measured Level:**

PARAM	STATION	DEPTH	AL (mg/L)	LL (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID-EBB TIDE (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID-FLOOD TIDE (mg/L)
SS	IS8	DA	<p><b>23.5</b> and 120% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 5.70 x 120% = <b>6.8</b> for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 5.20 x 120% = <b>6.2</b> for mid flood)</p>	<p><b>34.4</b> and 130% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 5.70 x 130% = <b>7.4</b> for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 5.20 x 130% = <b>6.8</b> for mid flood)</p>	11.0	<b>23.6</b>

Notes:

- 1) DA means depth average.
- 2) ***Bold Italic*** means AL exceedances.
- 3) ***Bold Italic with underline*** means LL exceedances.

**Possible reasons for Action Level Non-compliance:**

On 23 March 2018, an Action Level exceedance of suspended solid was recorded at station IS8 during mid-flood tide. The exceedance has been investigated and is considered unlikely to be related to the contract works due to the following reasons:

1. Seawall construction, road and drainage construction at Zones 1 and 2; land transportation of fill material, backfilling activities at Zones 2 and 3; seawall construction, box culvert construction and road and drainage work at Zone 3 were carried out on 23 March 2018. As confirmed by the Contractor, seawall construction at Zones 1, 2 and 3 were carried out within the properly deployed silt curtain as recommended in the EIA Report.
2. The ranges of suspended solid at station IS8 during the baseline monitoring are shown as below:

Station	Range of Suspended Solid (mg/L) Mid-Ebb Tide		Range of Suspended Solid (mg/L) Mid-Flood Tide	
IS8	5.5	to 25.5	5.8	to 31.3


The measured value for mid-flood tide at station IS8 was below the range of suspended solid for mid-flood tide during baseline monitoring.

3. During sampling exercise, water appearance was observed moderate (water appearance was observed neither clear nor turbid) at station IS8. There was no marine transportation near station IS8 during sampling period and no marine works were conducted near station IS8 which is located outside the site boundary of HKLR03 Contract. No abnormality or malpractice for the contract works was observed during the sampling exercise.
4. There were no specific activities recorded during the monitoring period that would cause any significant impacts on the monitoring results. As such, the exceedance of suspended solid level is considered to be attributed to other external factors such as sea condition, rather than the contract works.

**Actions taken/ to be taken:**

As the suspended solid level recorded beyond the water quality criteria was not related to the contract works, no immediate actions are considered necessary. However, the Contractor is reminded to ensure that the silt curtain is fully maintained throughout the construction works and construction works are carried out under stringent supervision to prevent any water quality impacts to the seawater.

**Location Plan:**



Reviewed by : Claudine Lee

Title : ET Leader

Date : 16 April 2018

Copied to : Supervising Officer, IEC, EPD, Contractor, ENPO

**Date of Notification:** 26 April 2018

**Works Inspected:** Data collected from water sampling works on 20 April 2018 and the results were issued on 26 April 2018

**Monitoring Location:** Water Quality Monitoring Station

**Parameter:** ~~Dissolved Oxygen (DO)~~ Suspended Solid (SS) / ~~Turbidity (TURB)~~

**Action & Limit Level (AL & LL) / Measured Level:**

PARAM	STATION	DEPTH	AL (mg/L)	LL (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID-EBB TIDE (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID-FLOOD TIDE (mg/L)
SS	IS(Mf)6	DA	<b>23.5</b> and 120% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 11.10 x 120% = <b>13.3</b> for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 9.60 x 120% = <b>11.5</b> for mid flood)	<b>34.4</b> and 130% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 9.60 x 130% = <b>14.4</b> for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 9.60 x 130% = <b>12.5</b> for mid flood)	16.7	<b>23.8</b>

Notes:

- 1) DA means depth average.
- 2) ***Bold Italic*** means AL exceedances.
- 3) ***Bold Italic with underline*** means LL exceedances.

**Possible reasons for Action Level Non-compliance:**

On 20 April 2018, an Action Level exceedance of suspended solid was recorded at station IS(Mf)6 during mid-flood tide. The exceedance has been investigated and is considered unlikely to be related to the contract works due to the following reasons:

1. Seawall construction, road and drainage construction at Zones 1 and 2; land transportation of fill material, backfilling activities at Zones 2 and 3; seawall construction, box culvert construction and road and drainage work at Zone 3 were carried out on 20 April 2018. As confirmed by the Contractor, seawall construction at Zones 1, 2 and 3 were carried out within the properly deployed silt curtain as recommended in the EIA Report.
2. The ranges of suspended solid at station IS(Mf)6 during the baseline monitoring are shown as below:

Station	Range of Suspended Solid (mg/L) Mid-Ebb Tide		Range of Suspended Solid (mg/L) Mid-Flood Tide	
	Min	Max	Min	Max
IS(Mf)6	7.1	19	8.5	35


The measured value for mid-flood tide at station IS(Mf)6 was below the range of suspended solid for mid-flood tide during baseline monitoring.

3. During sampling exercise, water appearance was observed clear at station IS(Mf)6. There was no marine transportation near station IS(Mf)6 during sampling period and no marine works were conducted near station IS(Mf)6 which is located outside the site boundary of HKLR03 Contract. No abnormality or malpractice for the contract works was observed during the sampling exercise.
4. There were no specific activities recorded during the monitoring period that would cause any significant impacts on the monitoring results. As such, the exceedance of suspended solid level is considered to be attributed to other external factors such as sea condition, rather than the contract works.

**Actions taken/ to be taken:**

As the suspended solid level recorded beyond the water quality criteria was not related to the contract works, no immediate actions are considered necessary. However, the Contractor is reminded to ensure that the silt curtain is fully maintained throughout the construction works and construction works are carried out under stringent supervision to prevent any water quality impacts to the seawater.

**Location Plan:**



Reviewed by : Claudine Lee

Title : ET Leader

Date : 8 May 2018

Copied to : Supervising Officer, IEC, EPD, Contractor, ENPO

**Contract No. HY/2011/03 -  
Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge  
Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities  
Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances** Notification No.: 276a ver  
0

**Date of Notification:** 30 May 2018 and 5 June 2018

**Works Inspected:** 24-hr TSP monitoring was undertaken on 23 May 2018, 28 May 2018 and the test report was issued on 28 May 2018 and 1 June 2018 respectively.

**Monitoring Location:** AMS6 – Dragonair Building

**Parameter:** 24-hour TSP monitoring

**Action & Limit Level (AL & LL) / Measured Level:**

PARAMETER	STATION	AL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	LL ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	MEASURED LEVEL, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
23 May 2018, 24-hr TSP (8:00 – 8:00 hours)	Dragonair Building (AMS6)	173	260	<b>188</b>
28 May 2018, 24-hr TSP (8:00 – 8:00 hours)	Dragonair Building (AMS6)	173	260	<b>217</b>

Notes: ***Bold Italic*** means AL exceedance  
***Bold Italic with underline*** means LL exceedance

**Possible reason for Action Level Non-compliance:**

Action Level exceedances of 24-hr TSP level were recorded at AMS6 - Dragonair Building on 23 May 2018 and 28 May 2018 respectively.

According to the information provided by the Contractor, soil handling for landscaping works were conducted at construction site S16 which is located near AMS6 during the sampling period on 23 and 28 May 2018.

According to information provided by the Contractor, water spraying was provided for dusty materials to maintain the entire surface in a damp condition and haul roads were sprayed with water by water trucks regularly. It was noted that no Action and Limit Level exceedances of 1-hr TSP were recorded at air monitoring station AMS6 - Dragonair Building during the period from 1 May 2018 to 31 May 2018. The Air Quality Health Index recorded by EPD at the Tung Chung station ranged from 1 (low) to 7 (high) during the sampling period (8:00 hrs, 23 May 2018 to 8:00 hrs, 24 May 2018) and 1 (low) to 9 (very high) during the sampling period (8:00 hrs, 28 May 2018 to 8:00 hrs, 29 May 2018).

The construction work at S16 might be one of dust sources to cause the 24-hr TSP exceedances on 23 and 28 May 2018. The Contractor was reminded to apply water spraying to the soil/ dusty materials prior to handling work.

**Actions taken/ to be taken:**

There is no direct evidence that the exceedances are not related to the project works, the Contractor is reminded to enhance water spraying for soil handling works at landscaping area and haul road. Also, the Contractor is reminded to continuously implement the dust mitigation measures as specified in Environmental Mitigation Implementation Schedule (EMIS), Environmental Management Plan, Method Statements, General and Particular Specifications of this Contract throughout the construction phase.


Reviewed by : Claudine Lee Title : ET Leader



Date : 8 June 2018

Copied to : Supervising Officer, IEC, EPD, Contractor, ENPO







**Contract No. HY/2011/03 -  
Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge  
Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities  
Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances** Notification No.: 277 ver 0

**Date of Notification:** 7 June 2018

**Works Inspected:** Not Applicable

**Monitoring Location:** NEL & NWL

**Parameter:** Ecology (Chinese White Dolphin Monitoring)

Action & Limit Levels		Monitoring Results
	North Lantau Social Cluster	The quarter of March 2018 – May 2018
	Action Level (AL)                      Limit Level (LL)	
Northeast Lantau (NEL)	STG < 4.2 & ANI < 15.5	<b><u>STG = 0; ANI = 0</u></b>
Northwest Lantau (NWL)	STG < 6.9 & ANI < 31.3	<b><u>STG = 2.88; ANI = 11.12</u></b>

Notes:

1. STG means quarterly encounter rate of number of dolphin sightings.
2. ANI means quarterly encounter rate of total number of dolphins.
3. For North Lantau Social Cluster, AL will be triggered if either NEL or NWL falls below the criteria; LL will be triggered if both NEL and NWL fall below the criteria.
4. ***Bold Italic*** means AL exceedances.
5. ***Bold Italic with underline*** means LL exceedances

**Possible reason for Limit Level Non-compliance:**

There was one Limit Level exceedance of dolphin monitoring for the quarterly monitoring data (between March 2018 – May 2018). According to the contractor's information, the marine activities undertaken for HKLR03 during the quarter of March 2018 – May 2018 included seawall construction, box culvert construction, road and drainage construction, road and drainage works.

There is no evidence showing the current LL non-compliance directly related to the construction works of HKLR03 (where the amounts of working vessels for HKLR03 have been decreasing), although the generally increased amount of vessel traffic in NEL during the impact phase has been partly contributed by HKLR03 works since October 2012. It should also be noted that reclamation work under HKLR03 (adjoining the Airport Island) situates in waters which has rarely been used by dolphins in the past, and the working vessels under HKLR03 have been travelling from source to destination in accordance with the Marine Travel Route to minimize impacts on Chinese White Dolphin (CWD). In addition, the contractor will implement proactive mitigation measures such as avoiding anchoring at Marine Department's designated anchorage site – Sham Shui Kok Anchorage (near Brothers Island) as far as practicable.

According to Monitoring of Chinese White Dolphins in Southwest Lantau Waters – Fourth Quarterly Report (December 2015 to February 2016) which is available on ENPO's website, with their primary ranges centered in North and West Lantau waters, some individuals showed apparent range shifts or extensions to Southwest Lantau waters in 2015-16. For example, three individual dolphins (NL120, WL46 and WL221) indicated obvious shifts in their range use from NWL to West Lantau (WL) and Southwest Lantau (SWL) waters. Moreover, many individuals (e.g. NL212, NL260, WL200, SL55, WL232, WL237 and WL265) have extended their ranges from WL waters to SWL waters. It remains to be seen whether some of these individuals have permanently shifted their ranges away from their primary ranges in North Lantau, or begin to spend more times in SWL waters as part of their ranges.

ENPO updated that the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Authority (HZMBA) for the Mainland section of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge (HZMB) has commenced an interim survey on fisheries resources and CWD in the Mainland waters. ENPO presented the preliminary findings of the HZMBA interim survey on CWD sighting and photo-identification works which provide solid evidence that some CWD that were previously more often sighted in HK waters have expanded their ranges into the Mainland waters, and some with reduced usage in HK waters. These preliminary data were mentioned in Monitoring of Chinese White Dolphins in Southwest Lantau Waters – Fourth Quarterly Report (December 2015 to February 2016) which is available on ENPO's website.

**Actions taken/ to be taken:**

Inform the IEC, ENPO, ER/SOR and Contractor

The ETL informed IEC, ENPO, SOR and Contractor via email on 6 June 2018.

Repeat statistical data analysis to confirm findings and check monitoring data:

A two-way ANOVA with repeated measures and unequal sample size was conducted to examine whether there were any significant differences in the average encounter rates between the baseline and impact monitoring periods. The two variables that were examined included the two periods (baseline and impact phases) and two locations (NEL and NWL).

For the comparison between the baseline period and the present quarter (22<sup>nd</sup> quarter of the impact phase being assessed), the p-values for the differences in average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI were 0.0095 and 0.0390 respectively. If the alpha value is set at 0.05, significant differences were detected between the baseline and present quarters in both the average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI.

For comparison between the baseline period and the cumulative quarters in impact phase (i.e. first 22 quarters of the impact

phase being assessed), the p-values for the differences in average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI were 0.000000 and 0.000000 respectively. Even if the alpha value is set at 0.00001, significant differences were still detected in both the average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI (i.e. between the two periods and the locations).

Review all available and relevant data, including raw data and statistical analysis results of other parameters covered in the EM&A, to ascertain if differences are as a result of natural variation or previously observed seasonal differences:

The AFCD monitoring data during March to May 2018 has been reviewed by the dolphin specialist. During the same quarter, no dolphin was sighted from 55.50 km of survey effort on primary lines in NEL, while only two groups of two dolphins were sighted from 52.60 km of survey effort on primary lines in NWL. This review has confirmed that the low occurrence of dolphins reported by the HKLR03 monitoring surveys in spring 2018 in NEL and NWL survey area is accurate.

**Recommendations/ mitigation measures/ actions if necessary:**

Review to ensure all the dolphin protective measures are fully and properly implemented and advise on additional measures if necessary:

All dolphin protective measures are fully and properly implemented in accordance with the EM&A Manual. According to the Regular Marine Travel Route Plan, the travelling speed of vessels must not exceed 5 knots when crossing the edge of the marine park. The Contractor will continue to provide training for skippers to ensure that their working vessels travel from source to destination to minimize impacts on Chinese White Dolphin and avoid anchoring at Marine Department's designated anchorage site - Sham Shui Kok Anchorage (near Brothers Island) as far as practicable. Also, it is recommended to complete the marine works of the Contract as soon as possible so as to reduce the overall duration of impacts and allow the dolphins population to recover as early as possible.

A meeting was held on 4 September 2018 with attendance of representative of ENPO, Resident Site Staff (RSS), Environmental Team (ET) and dolphin specialist for Contract Nos. HY/2013/01, HY/2011/03, HY/2012/07, HY/2012/08. The discussion/ recommendation as raised in the meeting which might be relevant to HKLR03 Contract are summarized below.

It was concluded that the HZMB works is one of the contributing factors affecting the dolphins. It was also concluded the contribution of impacts due to the HZMB works as a whole (or individual marine contracts) cannot be quantified nor separate from the other stress factors.

The dolphin specialists of the projects confirmed that the CWD sighting around the North of Sha Chau and Lung Kwu Chau Marine Park (SCLKCMP) has significantly decreased, and it was likely related to the re-routing of high speed ferry (HSF) from SkyPier.

It was reminded that the ETs shall keep reviewing the implementation status of the dolphin related mitigation measures and remind the contractor to ensure the relevant measures were fully implemented.

It was recommended that the marine works of HZMB projects should be completed as soon as possible so as to reduce the overall duration of impacts and allow the dolphins population to recover as early as possible.

It was also recommended that the marine works footprint (e.g., reduce the size of peripheral silt curtain) and vessels for the marine works should be reduced as much as possible, and vessels idling / mooring in other part of the North Lantau shall be avoided whenever possible.

HyD updated that the draft map of the proposed Brothers Marine Park (BMP) was gazetted in February 2016. ENPO updated that the BMP was approved by the Chief Executive in the Executive Council in August 2016. The ETs were reminded to update the BMP boundary in the Regular Marine Travel Route (RMTR) Plan. The BMP was designated on 30 December 2016. It was suggested that the protection measures (e.g. speed limit control) for the approved BMP shall be brought forward so as to provide a better habitat for dolphin recovery. It was noted that under the latest RMTR Plan, the contractors have committed to reduce the vessel speed in BMP.

The marine travel route will shift along the edge of Brother Marine Park as much as practical under the RMTR Plan. It was noted that even though marine vessels may moor within the mooring site of BMP, commercial activities including loading / unloading / transshipment are not allowed except a permit is obtained. The HZMB works vessels were recommended to avoid the BMP.

It was reminded that starting from January 2016, HSF from the SkyPier will be re-routed north to the northern edged of the Sha Chau and Lung Kwu Chau Marine Park which currently has the highest density of CWD in the NWL. While the HSF will reduce speed to 15 knots, the associated disturbance may still affect CWD in the area. It was implied that the CWDs in the area shall be closely followed.

There was a discussion on exploring possible further mitigation measures, for example, controlling the underwater noise. It was noted that the EIA reports for the projects suggested several mitigation measures, all of which have been implemented.

Reviewed by : Claudine Lee Title : ET Leader



Date : 24 September 2018

Copied to : Supervising Officer, ENPO, IEC, EPD, Contractor

**Summary of Notifications of Summons and Prosecutions**

Total No. of Notifications of Summons / Prosecutions Received	No. of Notifications of Summons / Prosecutions Received during Reporting Period	Status of Notifications of Summons / Prosecutions
0	0	N/A