Contract No. HY/2011/03 -Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances

Notification No.: 293s ver 1

Date of Notification: 1 April 2019

Works Inspected: Data collected from water sampling works on 25 March 2019 and the test report was issued on 29 March 2019.

Monitoring Location: Water Quality Monitoring Station

Parameter: Dissolved Oxygen (DO)/ Suspended Solid (SS)/ Turbidity (TURB)

Action & Limit Level (AL & LL) / Measured Level:						
PARAM	STATION	DEPTH	AL (mg/L)	LL (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID- EBB TIDE (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID- FLOOD TIDE (mg/L)
SS	IS8	DA	23.5 and 120% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day	the same day	27.1	10.8
SS	SR4(N)	DA	(i.e. CS2(A): 6.28 x 120% = 7.5 for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 6.00 x 120% = 7.2 for mid flood)	(i.e. CS2(A): 6.28 x 130% = 8.2 for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 6.00 x 130% = 7.8 for mid flood)	26.8	6.0

Notes:

1) DA means depth average.

2) Bold Italic means AL exceedances.

3) Bold Italic with underline means LL exceedances.

Possible reasons for Action Level Non-compliance:

On 25 March 2019, two Action Level exceedances of suspended solid were recorded at stations IS8 and SR4(N) during midebb tide. The exceedances have been investigated and are considered unlikely to be related to the contract works due to the following reasons:

- Land based transportation of seawall filling materials and landscaping works were carried out at Zone 3 on 25 March 2019. The silt curtains for this Contract were under maintenance on 25 March 2019. No wastewater was discharged from work areas of this Contract to the open water on 25 March 2019. It is also noted that exceedances were not recorded at stations IS5, IS(Mf)6 and IS7 which are located close to the site boundary of this Contract. No marine based works were conducted and there was no marine transportation near stations IS8 and SR4(N) which are located far away the site boundary of this Contract (over 1.5 km).
- Water appearance was observed turbid at both stations during sampling exercise. However, no silt plume was
 observed in the vicinity of stations IS8 and SR4(N) during the sampling exercise. Also, no abnormity or malpractice for
 the contract works was observed during the sampling exercise.
- 3. There were no specific activities recorded during the monitoring period that would cause any significant impacts on the monitoring results. As such, the exceedances of suspended solid level are considered to be attributed to other external factors such as sea condition, rather than the contract works.

Actions taken/ to be taken:

As the suspended solid level recorded beyond the water quality criteria was not related to the contract works, no immediate actions are considered necessary. However, the Contractor is reminded to ensure that the silt curtain is fully maintained throughout the construction works and construction works are carried out under stringent supervision to prevent any water quality impacts to the seawater.



Reviewed by:	Claudine Lee	Title :	ET Leader
	Clan.	Date :	11 April 2019

Copied to:

Supervising Officer, IEC/ENPO and Contractor

Contract No. HY/2011/03 -Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances

Notification No.: 294s ver 0

Date of Notification: 23 May 2019

Works Inspected: Data collected from water sampling works on 15 May 2019 and the test report was issued on 22 May 2019.

Monitoring Location: Water Quality Monitoring Station

Parameter: Dissolved Oxygen (DO)/ Suspended Solid (SS)/ Turbidity (TURB)

Action & Limit Level (AL & LL) / Measured Level:						
PARAM	STATION	DEPTH	AL (mg/L)	LL (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID- EBB TIDE (mg/L)	MEASURED AT MID- FLOOD TIDE (mg/L)
SS	IS8	DA	23.5 and 120% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 6.87 x 120% = 8.2 for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 6.30 x 120% = 7.6 for mid flood)	34.4 and 130% of upstream control station's suspended solids at the same tide of the same day (i.e. CS2(A): 6.87 x 130% = 8.9 for mid ebb; CS(Mf)5: 6.30 x 130% = 8.2 for mid flood)	30.7	11.4

Notes:

1) DA means depth average.

2) Bold Italic means AL exceedances.

3) Bold Italic with underline means LL exceedances.

Possible reasons for Action Level Non-compliance:

On 15 May 2019, an Action Level exceedance of suspended solid was recorded at station IS8 during mid-ebb tide. The exceedance has been investigated and is considered unlikely to be related to the contract works due to the following reasons:

- Land-based work including landscaping works at Zone 1 and seawall construction at Zone 1 and 2 were carried out on 15 May 2019. The silt curtains for this Contract were under maintenance on 15 May 2019. No wastewater was discharged from work areas of this Contract to the open water on 15 May 2019. It is also noted that exceedances were not recorded at stations IS5, IS(Mf)6 and IS7 which are located close to the site boundary of this Contract. No marine based works were conducted and there was no marine transportation near station IS8 which is located far away the site boundary of this Contract (over 1.5 km).
- 2. Water appearance was observed moderate (neither clear nor turbid) at IS8 during sampling exercise. However, no silt plume was observed in the vicinity of station IS8 during the sampling exercise. Also, no abnormity or malpractice for the contract works was observed during the sampling exercise.
- 3. There were no specific activities recorded during the monitoring period that would cause any significant impacts on the monitoring results. As such, the exceedance of suspended solid level is considered to be attributed to other external factors such as sea condition, rather than the contract works.

Actions taken/ to be taken:

As the suspended solid level recorded beyond the water quality criteria was not related to the contract works, no immediate actions are considered necessary. However, the Contractor is reminded to ensure that the silt curtain is fully maintained throughout the construction works and construction works are carried out under stringent supervision to prevent any water quality impacts to the seawater.



Reviewed by:	Claudine Lee	Title : ET Leader		
	Chan.	Date :	4 June 2019	
0				

Copied to:

Supervising Officer, IEC/ENPO and Contractor

Contract No. HY/2011/03 -Hong Kong- Zhuhai- Macao Bridge Hong Kong Link Road Section between Scenic Hill and Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities Notifications of Environmental Quality Limits Exceedances Notification No.: 295 ver 0

Date of Notification: 13 June 2019

Works Inspected: Not Applicable

Monitoring Location: NEL & NWL

Parameter: Ecology (Chinese White Dolphin Monitoring)

Action & Limit L	evels		Monitoring Results	
	North Lan	tau Social Cluster	The quarter of March 2019 to May 2019	
	Action Level (AL)	Limit Level (LL)		
Northeast Lantau (NEL)	STG < 4.2 & ANI < 15.5	NEL: (STG < 2.4 & ANI <8.9)	<u>STG = 0: ANI = 0</u>	
Northwest Lantau (NWL)	STG < 6.9 & ANI < 31.3	and NWL: (STG < 3.9 & ANI <17.9)	<u>STG = 1.13; ANI = 2.54</u>	

Notes:

1. STG means quarterly encounter rate of number of dolphin sightings.

- 2. ANI means quarterly encounter rate of total number of dolphins.
- 3. For North Lantau Social Cluster, AL will be triggered if either NEL or NWL falls below the criteria; LL will be triggered if both NEL and NWL fall below the criteria.

4. Bold Italic means AL exceedances.

5. <u>Bold Italic with underline</u> means LL exceedances

Possible reason for Limit Level Non-compliance:

There was a Limit Level exceedance of dolphin monitoring for the quarterly monitoring data (between March 2019 to May 2019). According to the contractor's information, the marine activities undertaken for HKLR03 during the quarter of March 2019 – May 2019 included seawall construction and box culvert construction.

There is no evidence showing the current LL non-compliance directly related to the construction works of HKLR03 (where the amounts of working vessels for HKLR03 have been decreasing), although the generally increased amount of vessel traffic in NEL during the impact phase has been partly contributed by HKLR03 works since October 2012. It should also be noted that work area under HKLR03 (adjoining the Airport Island) situates in waters which has rarely been used by dolphins in the past, and the working vessels under HKLR03 have been travelling from source to destination in accordance with the Marine Travel Route to minimize impacts on Chinese White Dolphin (CWD). In addition, the contractor will implement proactive mitigation measures such as avoiding anchoring at Marine Department's designated anchorage site – Sham Shui Kok Anchorage (near Brothers Island) as far as practicable.

According to Monitoring of Chinese White Dolphins in Southwest Lantau Waters – Fourth Quarterly Report (December 2015 to February 2016) which is available on ENPO's website, with their primary ranges centered in North and West Lantau waters, some individuals showed apparent range shifts or extensions to Southwest Lantau waters in 2015-16. For example, three individual dolphins (NL120, WL46 and WL221) indicated obvious shifts in their range use from NWL to West Lantau (WL) and Southwest Lantau (SWL) waters. Moreover, many individuals (e.g. NL212, NL260, WL200, SL55, WL232, WL237 and WL265) have extended their ranges from WL waters to SWL waters. It remains to be seen whether some of these individuals have permanently shifted their ranges away from their primary ranges in North Lantau or begin to spend more times in SWL waters as part of their ranges.

ENPO updated that the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge Authority (HZMBA) for the Mainland section of Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge (HZMB) has commenced an interim survey on fisheries resources and CWD in the Mainland waters. ENPO presented the preliminary findings of the HZMBA interim survey on CWD sighting and photo-identification works which provide solid evidence that some CWD that were previously more often sighted in HK waters have expanded their ranges into the Mainland waters, and some with reduced usage in HK waters. These preliminary data were mentioned in Monitoring of Chinese White Dolphins in Southwest Lantau Waters – Fourth Quarterly Report (December 2015 to February 2016) which is available on ENPO's website.

Actions taken/ to be taken:

Inform the IEC, ENPO, ER/SOR and Contractor The ETL informed IEC, ENPO, SOR and Contractor via email on 13 June 2019.

Repeat statistical data analysis to confirm findings and check monitoring data:

A two-way ANOVA with repeated measures and unequal sample size was conducted to examine whether there were any significant differences in the average encounter rates between the baseline and impact monitoring periods. The two variables that were examined included the two periods (baseline and impact phases) and two locations (NEL and NWL).

For the comparison between the baseline period and the present quarter (26th quarter of the impact phase being assessed), the p-values for the differences in average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI were 0.0019 and 0.0113 respectively. If the alpha value is set at 0.05, significant differences were detected between the baseline and present quarters in both the average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI.

For comparison between the baseline period and the cumulative quarters in impact phase (i.e. first 26 quarters of the impact phase being assessed), the p-values for the differences in average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI were 0.000000 and 0.000000 respectively. Even if the alpha value is set at 0.00001, significant differences were still detected in both the average dolphin encounter rates of STG and ANI (i.e. between the two periods and the locations).

Review all available and relevant data, including raw data and statistical analysis results of other parameters covered in the EM&A, to ascertain if differences are as a result of natural variation or previously observed seasonal differences:

The AFCD monitoring data during March to May 2019 has been reviewed by the dolphin specialist. During the same quarter, no dolphin was sighted from 145.50 km of survey effort on primary lines in NEL, while only one group of one dolphin was sighted from 200.64 km of survey effort on primary lines in NWL. This review has confirmed that the rare occurrence of dolphins reported by the HKLR03 monitoring surveys in spring 2019 in NEL and NWL survey area is accurate.

Recommendations/ mitigation measures/ actions if necessary:

Review to ensure all the dolphin protective measures are fully and properly implemented and advise on additional measures if necessary:

All dolphin protective measures are fully and properly implemented in accordance with the EM&A Manual. According to the Regular Marine Travel Route Plan, the travelling speed of vessels must not exceed 5 knots when crossing the edge of the Brothers Marine Park. The Contractor will continue to provide training for skippers to ensure that their working vessels travel from source to destination to minimize impacts on Chinese White Dolphin and avoid anchoring at Marine Department's designated anchorage site - Sham Shui Kok Anchorage (near Brothers Island) as far as practicable. Also, it is recommended to complete the marine works of the Contract as soon as possible so as to reduce the overall duration of impacts and allow the dolphins population to recover as early as possible.

It was concluded that the HZMB works is one of the contributing factors affecting the dolphins. It was also concluded the contribution of impacts due to the HZMB works as a whole (or individual marine contracts) cannot be quantified nor separate from the other stress factors.

The dolphin specialists of the projects confirmed that the CWD sighting around the North of Sha Chau and Lung Kwu Chau Marine Park (SCLKCMP) has significantly decreased, and it was likely related to the re-routing of high speed ferry (HSF) from Skypier.

ET will keep reviewing the implementation status of the dolphin related mitigation measures and remind the contractor to implement the relevant measures.

It was recommended that the marine works of HZMB projects should be completed as soon as possible so as to reduce the overall duration of impacts and allow the dolphins population to recover as early as possible.

It was also recommended that the marine works footprint (e.g., reduce the size of peripheral silt curtain) and vessels for the marine works should be reduced as much as possible, and vessels idling / mooring in other part of the North Lantau shall be avoided whenever possible.

HyD updated that the draft map of the proposed Brothers Marine Park (BMP) was gazetted in February 2016. ENPO updated that the BMP was approved by the Chief Executive in the Executive Council in August 2016. The ETs were reminded to update the BMP boundary in the Regular Marine Travel Route (RMTR) Plan. The BMP was designated on 30 December 2016. It was suggested that the protection measures (e.g. speed limit control) for the approved BMP shall be brought forward so as to provide a better habitat for dolphin recovery. It was noted that under the latest RMTR Plan, the contractors have committed to reduce the vessel speed in BMP.

The marine travel route will shift along the edge of the Brothers Marine Park as much as practical under the RMTR Plan. It was noted that even though marine vessels may moor within the mooring site of BMP, commercial activities including loading / unloading / transshipment are not allowed except a permit is obtained. The HZMB works vessels were recommended to avoid the BMP.

It was noted that starting from January 2016, HSF from the SkyPier will be re-routed north to the northern edged of the Sha Chau and Lung Kwu Chau Marine Park which currently has the highest density of CWD in the NWL. While the HSF will reduce speed to 15 knots, the associated disturbance may still affect CWD in the area. It was implied that the CWDs in the area shall be closely followed.

There was a discussion on exploring possible further mitigation measures, for example, controlling the underwater noise. It was noted that the EIA reports for the projects suggested several mitigation measures, all of which have been implemented.

Reviewed by : Claudine Lee Title : ET Leader
Copied to : Supervising Officer, ENPO/ IEC, Contractor
Date : 22 August 2019

Total No. of Notifications of Summons / Prosecutions Received	No. of Notifications of Summons / Prosecutions Received during Reporting Period	Status of Notifications of Summons / Prosecutions
0	0	N/A

Summary of Notifications of Summons and Prosecutions