Appendix L

Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Appendix L1 Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances

		Total No. recorded in this quarter	Total No. recorded since contract commencement
1-Hr TSP	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	1
24-Hr TSP	Action	0	2
	Limit	0	0
Noise	Action	0	0
	Limit	0	0
Water Quality	Action	0	224
-	Limit	0	24
Impact Dolphin	Action	0	11
Monitoring	Limit	1	15

Appendix L2 Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Reporting Period	Cumulative Statistics		
—	Complaints	Notifications of	Successful
		Summons	Prosecutions
This quarter	0	0	0
Total No. received since contract	14	0	0
commencement			

Email message		Environmental Resources Management
То	Ramboll Hong Kong, Limited (ENPO)	2507 25/F One Harbourfront
From	ERM- Hong Kong, Limited	18 Tak Fung Street Hunghom Kowloon
Ref/Project number	Contract No. HY/2012/07 Tuen Mun-Chek Lap Kok Link-Southern Connection Viaduct Section	Hong Kong Telephone: (852) 2271 3113 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660 E-mail: jasmine.ng@erm.com
Subject	Notification of Exceedance for Impact Dolphin Monitoring	S
Date	6 May 2019	ERM

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please find attached the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) of the following Log no.:

0215660_December2018/February 2019_dolphin_STG&ANI_NEL&NWL

A total of one limit level exceedance was recorded in the quarterly impact dolphin monitoring data between December 2018 to February 2019.

Regards,

famin

Dr Jasmine Ng Environmental Team Leader

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This email transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and is confidential. If you are not the addressee it may be unlawful for you to read, copy, distribute, disclose or otherwise use the information in this email. If you are not the intended recipient, please telephone or fax us.



ERM-Hong Kong, Limited

CONTRACT NO. HY/2012/07 TUEN MUN – CHEK LAP KOK LINK – SOUTHERN CONNECTION VIADUCT SECTION

Impact Dolphin Monitoring Notification of Exceedance

Log No.	0215660_Dec2018/Feb2019_dolphin_STG&ANI_NEL&NWL		
	[Total No. of Exceedance = 1]		
Date	December 2018 - February 2019 (monitored)		
	12 April 2019 (results received by ERM)		
Monitoring Area	Northeast	Lantau (NEL) and Northwest Lantau (NWL)	
Parameter(s) with	Quarter	ly encounter rate of dolphin sightings (STG)	
Exceedance(s)	Quarterly encounter rate of total number of dolphins (ANI)		
Action Levels		NEL: STG < 4.2 & ANI < 15.5	
	North Lantau Social cluster	or NWL: STG < 6.9 & ANI < 31.3	
Limit Levels		NEL: STG < 2.4 & ANI < 8.9	
		and NWL: STG < 3.9 & ANI < 17.9	
Recorded Levels	NIPI		
Recorded Levels	NEL	STG = 0 & ANI = 0	
	NWL	STG = 2.40 & ANI = 7.95	
	One Limit Level Exceedance was recorded in the quarterly impact dolphin monitoring at N		
		• February 2019. The exceedance was reported in the approved	
	Sixty-fourth Monthly EM&A Repo		
Statistical Analyses	Further to the review of the available and relevant dolphin monitoring data in the EM&A under Contract, statistical analyses were conducted as follows:		
	 A two-way ANOVA with repeated measures and unequal sample size was conducted using Period (2 levels: baseline vs impact – present impact quarter, December 2018 to February 2019) and Location (2 levels: NEL and NWL) as fixed factors to examine whether there were any significant differences in the average encounter rates between the baseline and present impact monitoring quarter. By setting α = 0.05 as the significance level in the statistical tests, significant differences in STG (<i>p</i> = 0.0029) and ANI (<i>p</i> = 0.0143) were detected between Periods. A two-way ANOVA with repeated measures and unequal sample size was conducted using Cumulative Period (2 levels: baseline vs impact – cumulative quarters, December 2012 to February 2019) and Location (2 levels: NEL and NWL) as fixed factors to examine whether there were any significant differences in the average encounter rates between the baseline and mether there were any significant differences in the average and unequal sample size was conducted using Cumulative Period (2 levels: baseline vs impact – cumulative quarters, December 2012 to February 2019) and Location (2 levels: NEL and NWL) as fixed factors to examine whether there were any significant differences in the average encounter rates between the baseline and 		
Works Undertaken (in the monitoring	 cumulative impact monitoring quarter. By setting α = 0.00001 as the significance level in the statistical tests, significant difference in STG (<i>p</i> = 0.00000) and in ANI (<i>p</i> = 0.00000) between Cumulative Period (baseline and impact phases) and Location (NEL and NWL) were detected. * Note: The commencement date under <i>Contract No. HY/2012/07</i> is 31 October 2013. In the quarter between December 2018 to February 2019, marine works was undertaken under <i>Contract No. HY/2012/07</i> include reinstatement of seafront. 		
quarter)			

Possible Reason for	The potential factors that may have contributed to the observed exceedance are reviewed below:
Action or Limit Level	Blocking of CWD travelling corridor:
Action of Limit Level Exceedance(s)	 Blocking of CWD traveling corridor: The Monitoring of Marine Mammals in Hong Kong Waters (2017 - 18) ⁽¹⁾ reported that dolphin usage and traveling activities to the northern side of the airport (dolphin traveling corridor) are affected by frequent high-speed ferry traffic from Sky Pier (not related to this Contract), which is likely one of the factors resulting in the decrease in dolphin abundances in North Lantau. Marine works of the Contract: As per the findings from the EIA report (Section 8.11.9), the major influences on the Chinese White Dolphin (CWD) Sousa chinensis under this Contract are marine traffics and bored piling works. The Monitoring of Marine Mammals in Hong Kong Waters (2017-2018) also reported that CWD decline were likely influenced by reclamation works, bored piling and intensive marine traffic from construction activities. Based on these possible reasons, implementation of mitigation measures are reviewed. This Contract does not have any reclamation works, thus no habitat loss was caused by reclamation. In the reporting period, the Contractor implemented the marine traffic control as per the requirements in the <i>EP-354/2009/D</i> and the updated <i>EM&A Manual</i>. Most of the vessels of this Contract also worked within the site boundary, in which the area is seldom used by CWD. Disturbance from vessels of this Contract is considered minor. All of the marine bored piling works of this Contract was completed in September 2015. Thus, underwater noise emission from this Contract had been substantially reduced. During dolphin monitoring in this quarter, no unacceptable impact on CWD due to the activities under this Contract was observed. Impact on water quality: According to the findings in the water quality monitoring results at the impact monitoring stations between December 2018 to February 2019, there was no exceedance recorded during water quality impact monitoring in the reporting period. In view of the above, marine

Actions Taken / To Be	With reference to the site inspection records in this quarter, the respective marine ecological
Taken	mitigation measures have been implemented properly by the Contractor throughout the marine
Taxen	works period, including:
	1. 250m dolphin exclusion zone;
	 Acoustic decoupling plan;
	3. Training to workers;
	4. Offsite vessel routing control in accordance with Regular Marine Travel Routes Plan, including
	routing control within existing marine park boundaries;
	5. Vessels speed limited at 5 knots and 10 knots within existing marine park boundaries and site
	boundary respectively;
	6. Idling and mooring of working vessels within site boundary
	The existing mitigation measures are recommended to be continuously implemented. Furthermore,
	it is also recommended to reduce the vessels for marine works as much as possible. The ET will
	monitor for future trends in exceedance(s).
	A joint team meeting was held on 11 March 2019 for discussion on CWD trend, with attendance of
	ENPO, Representatives of Resident Site Staff (RSS), Representatives of Environmental Teams (ETs)
	for Contract No. HY/2011/03, HY/2012/07, HY/2012/08 and HY/2013/04. The discussion/
	recommendation as presented in the meeting, which might be relevant to this Contract are
	summarized below. It was concluded that the HZMB works is one of the contributing factors
	affecting the dolphins. It was also concluded the contribution of impacts due to the HZMB works
	as a whole (or individual marine contracts) cannot be quantified or separate from the other stress
	factors. It was reminded that the ETs shall keep reviewing the implementation status of the
	dolphin related mitigation measures and remind the contractors to ensure the relevant measures
	are fully implemented. It was recommended that the marine works of HZMB projects should be
	completed as soon as possible to reduce the overall duration of impacts and allow the dolphins
	population to recover as early as possible. The participants were also reminded that the
	protection measures (e.g. speed limit control) for the BMP shall be implemented so as to provide a
	better habitat for dolphin recovery. It is noted that even though marine vessels may moor within
	the mooring site of BMP, commercial activities including loading / unloading / transhipment are
	not allowed except a permit is obtained. The HZMB works vessels were recommended to avoid
	the BMP. It was also recommended that the marine works footprint and vessels for the marine
	works should be reduced as much as possible, and vessels idling / mooring in other part of the
	North Lantau shall be avoided whenever possible.
	*
	Dolphin specialists of the Projects confirmed that the CWD sighting nearby north of Sha Chau and
	Lung Kwu Chau Marine Park has significantly declined. The reason for the decline was likely
	related to the re-routing of high-speed ferry from Skypier.
Remarks	The results of impact water quality and impact dolphin monitoring, the status of implemented
	marine ecological mitigation measures are documented in the approved Sixty-second to Sixty-fourth
	Monthly EM&A Reports. Comparison on water quality between impact and baseline periods is
	elaborated in the 21 st Quarterly EM&A Report.
	× ···· ··· ··· ··· ···················